

National Environmental
Laboratory **Accreditation**
Conference

QUALITY SYSTEMS

PROPOSED

September 15, 2000~~June 30, 2000~~

Portions of the NELAC standards are reprinted from ISO/IEC Guide 25:1990, ISO/IEC Guide 58:1993, and ISO/IEC Standard 17025:1999, with permission of the American National Standards Institute.

ISO/IEC Guide 25:1990 has been superseded by ISO/IEC Standard 17025.

TABLE OF CONTENTS QUALITY SYSTEMS

5.0	QUALITY SYSTEMS	1
5.1	SCOPE	1
5.2	REFERENCES	2
5.3	DEFINITIONS	2
5.4	ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT	2
5.4.1	Legal Definition of Laboratory	2
5.4.2	Organization	2
5.5	QUALITY SYSTEM - ESTABLISHMENT, AUDITS, ESSENTIAL QUALITY CONTROLS AND DATA VERIFICATION	4
5.5.1	Establishment	4
5.5.2	Quality Manual	4
5.5.3	Audits, Reviews and Corrective Actions	6
5.5.3.1	Internal Audits	6
5.5.3.2	Managerial Review	6
5.5.3.3	Audit Review	6
5.5.3.4	Performance Audits	6
5.5.3.5	Corrective Actions	7
5.5.4	Essential Quality Control Procedures	7
5.6	PERSONNEL	8
5.6.1	General Requirements for Laboratory Staff	8
5.6.2	Laboratory Management Responsibilities	8
5.6.3	Records	10
5.7	PHYSICAL FACILITIES - ACCOMMODATION AND ENVIRONMENT	10
5.7.1	Environment	10
5.7.2	Work Areas	10
5.8	EQUIPMENT AND REFERENCE MATERIALS	11
5.9	MEASUREMENT TRACEABILITY AND CALIBRATION	11
5.9.1	General Requirements	11
5.9.2	Traceability of Calibration	12
5.9.3	Reference Standards	12
5.9.4	Calibration	12
5.9.4.1	Support Equipment	12
5.9.4.2	Instrument Calibration	13
5.10	TEST METHODS AND STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES	15
5.10.1	Methods Documentation	15
5.10.1.1	Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)	15
5.10.1.2	Laboratory Method Manual(s)	16
5.10.2	Test Methods	16
5.10.2.1	Demonstration of Capability	17

5.10.3	Sample Aliquots	18
5.10.4	Data Verification	18
5.10.5	Documentation and Labeling of Standards and Reagents	18
5.10.6	Computers and Electronic Data Related Requirements	18
5.11	SAMPLE HANDLING, SAMPLE ACCEPTANCE POLICY AND SAMPLE RECEIPT	19
5.11.1	Sample Tracking	19
5.11.2	Sample Acceptance Policy	19
5.11.3	Sample Receipt Protocols	20
5.11.4	Storage Conditions	21
5.11.5	Sample Disposal	22
5.12	RECORDS	22
5.12.1	Record Keeping System and Design	22
5.12.2	Records Management and Storage	23
5.12.3	Laboratory Sample Tracking	23
5.12.3.1	Sample Handling	23
5.12.3.2	Laboratory Support Activities	24
5.12.3.3	Analytical Records	24
5.12.3.4	Administrative Records	25
5.13	LABORATORY REPORT FORMAT AND CONTENTS	25
5.14	SUBCONTRACTING ANALYTICAL SAMPLES	27
5.15	OUTSIDE SUPPORT SERVICES AND SUPPLIES	27
5.16	COMPLAINTS	28
Appendix A - REFERENCES		A-1
APPENDIX B - (Reserved)		
Appendix C - DEMONSTRATION OF CAPABILITY		C-1
C.1	PROCEDURE FOR DEMONSTRATION OF CAPABILITY	C-1
C.2	CERTIFICATION STATEMENT	C-2
Appendix D - ESSENTIAL QUALITY CONTROL REQUIREMENTS		D-1
D.1	CHEMICAL TESTING	D-1
D.1.1	Positive and Negative Controls	D-1
D.1.2	Analytical Variability/Reproducibility	D-2
D.1.3	Method Evaluation	D-2
D.1.4	Detection Limits	D-2
D.1.5	Data Reduction	D-3
D.1.6	Quality of Standards and Reagents	D-3
D.1.7	Selectivity	D-3
D.1.8	Constant and Consistent Test Conditions	D-4
D.2	TOXICITY TESTING	D-4
D.2.1	Positive and Negative Controls	D-4

D.2.2	Variability and/or Reproducibility	D-6
D.2.3	Accuracy	D-7
D.2.4	Test Sensitivity	D-7
D.2.5	Selection of Appropriate Statistical Analysis Methods	D-7
D.2.6	Selection and Use of Reagents and Standards	D-7
D.2.7	Selectivity	D-7
D.2.8	Constant and Consistent Test Conditions	D-7
D.3	MICROBIOLOGY TESTING	D-10
D.3.1	<u>Sterility</u> , Positive and Negative Controls	D-11
D.3.2	Test Variability/Reproducibility	D-12
D.3.3	Method Evaluation	D-12
D.3.4	Test Performance	D-13
D.3.5	Data Reduction	D-13
D.3.6	Quality of Standards, Reagents and Media	D-13
D.3.7	Selectivity	D-14
Figure D.1	Use of Reference Cultures (Bacteria)	D-15
D.3.8	Constant and Consistent Test Conditions	D-16
D.4	RADIOCHEMICAL TESTING	D-18
D.4.1	Negative and Positive Controls	D-18
D.4.2	Analytical Variability/Reproducibility	D-20
D.4.3	Method Evaluation	D-20
D.4.4	Radiation Measurement System Calibration	D-21
D.4.5	Detection Limits	D-22
D.4.6	Data Reduction	D-22
D.4.7	Quality of Standards and Reagents	D-22
D.4.8	Constant and Consistent Test Conditions	D-23
D.5	AIR TESTING	D-23
D.5.1	Negative and Positive Controls	D-23
D.5.2	Analytical Variability/Reproducibility	D-24
D.5.3	Method Evaluation	D-24
D.5.4	Detection Limits	D-24
D.5.5	Data Reduction	D-25
D.5.6	Quality of Standards and Reagents	D-25
D.5.7	Selectivity	D-25
D.5.8	Constant and Consistent Test Conditions	D-25
Appendix E	ADDITIONAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION	E-1

N O T E: *Additions (double-underlined) and ~~deletions~~ (struck through) to the approved standards being considered by the Quality Systems Committee for vote at the next Annual Meeting are marked as in this note.*

5.0 QUALITY SYSTEMS

INTRODUCTION

Quality Systems include all quality assurance (QA) policies and quality control (QC) procedures, which shall be delineated in a Quality Manual and followed to ensure and document the quality of the analytical data. Laboratories seeking accreditation under NELAP must assure implementation of all QA policies and the essential applicable QC procedures specified in this Chapter. The QA policies, which establish essential QC procedures, are applicable to environmental laboratories regardless of size and complexity.

The intent of this Chapter is to provide sufficient detail concerning quality system requirements so that all accrediting authorities evaluate laboratories consistently and uniformly.

NELAC is committed to the use of Performance-based Measurement Systems (PBMS) in environmental testing and provides the foundation for PBMS implementation in these standards. While this standard may not currently satisfy all the anticipated needs of PBMS, NELAC will address future needs within the context of State statutory and regulatory requirements and the finalized EPA implementation plans for PBMS.

Chapter 5 is organized according to the structure of ISO/IEC Guide 25, 1990. Where deemed necessary, specific areas within this Chapter may contain more information than specified by ISO/IEC Guide 25.

All items identified in this Chapter shall be available for on-site inspection or data audit.

5.1 SCOPE

- a) This Standard sets out the general requirements that a laboratory has to successfully demonstrate to be recognized as competent to carry out specific environmental tests.
- b) This Standard includes additional requirements and information for assessing competence or for determining compliance by the organization or accrediting authority granting the recognition (or approval).

If more stringent standards or requirements are included in a mandated test method or by regulation, the laboratory shall demonstrate that such requirements are met. If it is not clear which requirements are more stringent, the standard from the method or regulation is to be followed. (See the supplemental accreditation requirements in Section 1.9.2.)

- c) This Standard is for use by environmental testing laboratories in the development and implementation of their quality systems. It shall be used by accrediting authorities, in assessing the competence of environmental laboratories.

5.2 REFERENCES

See Appendix A.

5.3 DEFINITIONS

The relevant definitions from ISO/IEC Guide 2, ISO 8402, ANSI/ASQC E-4, 1994, the EPA "Glossary of Quality Assurance Terms and Acronyms", and the *International vocabulary of basic and general terms in metrology (VIM)* are applicable, the most relevant being quoted in Appendix A, Glossary, of Chapter 1 together with further definitions applicable for the purposes of this Standard.

See Appendix A, Glossary, of Chapter 1.

5.4 ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

5.4.1 Legal Definition of Laboratory

The laboratory shall be legally identifiable. It shall be organized and shall operate in such a way that its permanent, temporary and mobile facilities meet the requirements of this Standard.

5.4.2 Organization

The laboratory shall:

- a) have managerial staff with the authority and resources needed to discharge their duties;
- b) have processes to ensure that its personnel are free from any commercial, financial and other undue pressures which adversely affect the quality of their work;
- c) be organized in such a way that confidence in its independence of judgment and integrity is maintained at all times;
- d) specify and document the responsibility, authority, and interrelationship of all personnel who manage, perform or verify work affecting the quality of calibrations and tests;

Such documentation shall include:

- 1) a clear description of the lines of responsibility in the laboratory and shall be proportioned such that adequate supervision is ensured and
 - 2) job descriptions for all positions.
- e) provide supervision by persons familiar with the calibration or test methods and procedures, the objective of the calibration or test and the assessment of the results;

The ratio of supervisory to non-supervisory personnel shall be such as to ensure adequate supervision to ensure adherence to laboratory procedures and accepted techniques.

- f) have a technical director(s) (however named) who has overall responsibility for the technical operation of the environmental testing laboratory;

The technical director(s) shall certify that personnel with appropriate educational and/or technical background perform all tests for which the laboratory is accredited. Such certification shall be documented.

The technical director(s) shall meet the requirements specified in the Accreditation Process. (see 4.1.1.1)

- g) have a quality assurance officer (however named) who has responsibility for the quality system and its implementation;

The quality assurance officer shall have direct access to the highest level of management at which decisions are taken on laboratory policy or resources, and to the technical director. Where staffing is limited, the quality assurance officer may also be the technical director or deputy technical director;

The quality assurance officer (and/or his/her designees) shall:

- 1) serve as the focal point for QA/QC and be responsible for the oversight and/or review of quality control data;
 - 2) have functions independent from laboratory operations for which they have quality assurance oversight;
 - 3) be able to evaluate data objectively and perform assessments without outside (e.g., managerial) influence;
 - 4) have documented training and/or experience in QA/QC procedures and be knowledgeable in the quality system as defined under NELAC;
 - 5) have a general knowledge of the analytical test methods for which data review is performed;
 - 6) arrange for or conduct internal audits as per 5.5.3 annually; and,
 - 7) notify laboratory management of deficiencies in the quality system and monitor corrective action.
- h) nominate deputies in case of absence of the technical director(s) and/or quality assurance officer;
 - i) have documented policy and procedures to ensure the protection of clients' confidential information and proprietary rights (this may not apply to in-house laboratories);
 - j) for purposes of qualifying for and maintaining accreditation, each laboratory shall participate in a proficiency test program as outlined in Chapter 2.

5.5 QUALITY SYSTEM - ESTABLISHMENT, AUDITS, ESSENTIAL QUALITY CONTROLS AND DATA VERIFICATION

5.5.1 Establishment

The laboratory shall establish and maintain a quality system based on the required elements contained in this chapter and appropriate to the type, range and volume of environmental testing activities it undertakes.

- a) The elements of this quality system shall be documented in the organization's quality manual.
- b) The quality documentation shall be available for use by the laboratory personnel.
- c) The laboratory shall define and document its policies and objectives for, and its commitment to accepted laboratory practices and quality of testing services.
- d) The laboratory management shall ensure that these policies and objectives are documented in a quality manual and communicated to, understood and implemented by, all laboratory personnel concerned.
- e) The quality manual shall be maintained current under the responsibility of the quality assurance officer.

5.5.2 Quality Manual

The quality manual, and related quality documentation, shall state the laboratory's policies and operational procedures established in order to meet the requirements of this Standard.

The Quality Manual shall list on the title page: a document title; the laboratory's full name and address; the name, address (if different from above), and telephone number of individual(s) responsible for the laboratory; the name of the quality assurance officer (however named); the identification of all major organizational units which are to be covered by this quality manual and the effective date of the version;

The quality manual and related quality documentation shall also contain:

- a) a quality policy statement, including objectives and commitments, by top management;
- b) the organization and management structure of the laboratory, its place in any parent organization and relevant organizational charts;
- c) the relationship between management, technical operations, support services and the quality system;
- d) procedures to ensure that all records required under this Chapter are retained, as well as procedures for control and maintenance of documentation through a document control system which ensures that all standard operating procedures, manuals, or documents clearly indicate the time period during which the procedure or document was in force;
- e) job descriptions of key staff and reference to the job descriptions of other staff;
- f) identification of the laboratory's approved signatories; at a minimum, the title page of the Quality Manual must have the signed and dated concurrence, (with appropriate titles) of all responsible

parties including the QA officer(s), technical director(s), and the agent who is in charge of all laboratory activities such as the laboratory director or laboratory manager;

- g) the laboratory's procedures for achieving traceability of measurements;
- h) a list of all test methods under which the laboratory performs its accredited testing;
- i) mechanisms for ensuring that the laboratory reviews all new work to ensure that it has the appropriate facilities and resources before commencing such work;
- j) reference to the calibration and/or verification test procedures used;
- k) procedures for handling submitted samples;
- l) reference to the major equipment and reference measurement standards used as well as the facilities and services used by the laboratory in conducting tests;
- m) reference to procedures for calibration, verification and maintenance of equipment;
- n) reference to verification practices which may include interlaboratory comparisons, proficiency testing programs, use of reference materials and internal quality control schemes;
- o) procedures to be followed for feedback and corrective action whenever testing discrepancies are detected, or departures from documented policies and procedures occur;
- p) the laboratory management arrangements for exceptionally permitting departures from documented policies and procedures or from standard specifications;
- q) procedures for dealing with complaints;
- r) procedures for protecting confidentiality (including national security concerns), and proprietary rights;
- s) procedures for audits and data review;
- t) processes/procedures for establishing that personnel are adequately experienced in the duties they are expected to carry out and are receiving any needed training;
- u) ethics policy statement developed by the laboratory and processes/procedures for educating and training personnel in their ethical and legal responsibilities including the potential punishments and penalties for improper, unethical or illegal actions;
- v) reference to procedures for reporting analytical results; and,
- w) a Table of Contents, and applicable lists of references and glossaries, and appendices.

5.5.3 Audits, Reviews and Corrective Actions

5.5.3.1 Internal Audits

The laboratory shall arrange for annual internal audits to verify that its operations continue to comply with the requirements of the laboratory's quality system. It is the responsibility of the quality assurance officer to plan and organize audits as required by a predetermined schedule and

requested by management. Such audits shall be carried out by trained and qualified personnel who are, wherever resources permit, independent of the activity to be audited. Personnel shall not audit their own activities except when it can be demonstrated that an effective audit will be carried out. Where the audit findings cast doubt on the correctness or validity of the laboratory's calibrations or test results, the laboratory shall take immediate corrective action and shall immediately notify, in writing, any client whose work was involved.

5.5.3.2 Managerial Review

The laboratory management shall conduct a review, at least annually, of its quality system and its testing and calibration activities to ensure its continuing suitability and effectiveness and to introduce any necessary changes or improvements in the quality system and laboratory operations. The review shall take account of reports from managerial and supervisory personnel, the outcome of recent internal audits, assessments by external bodies, the results of interlaboratory comparisons or proficiency tests, any changes in the volume and type of work undertaken, feedback from clients, corrective actions and other relevant factors. The laboratory shall have a procedure for review by management and maintain records of review findings and actions.

5.5.3.3 Audit Review

All audit and review findings and any corrective actions that arise from them shall be documented. The laboratory management shall ensure that these actions are discharged within the agreed time frame as indicated in the quality manual and/or SOPs.

5.5.3.4 Performance Audits

In addition to periodic audits, the laboratory shall ensure the quality of results provided to clients by implementing checks to monitor the quality of the laboratory's analytical activities. Examples of such checks are:

- a) internal quality control procedures using statistical techniques; (see 5.5.4 below)
- b) participation in proficiency testing or other interlaboratory comparisons (See Chapter 2);
- c) use of certified reference materials and/or in-house quality control using secondary reference materials as specified in Section 5.5.4;
- d) replicate testings using the same or different test methods;
- e) re-testing of retained samples;
- f) correlation of results for different but related analysis of a sample (for example, total phosphorus should be greater than or equal to orthophosphate).

5.5.3.5 Corrective Actions

- a) In addition to providing acceptance criteria and specific protocols for corrective actions in the Method Standard Operating Procedures (see 5.10.1.1), the laboratory shall implement general procedures to be followed to determine when departures from documented policies, procedures and quality control have occurred. These procedures shall include but are not limited to the following:
 - 1) identify the individual(s) responsible for assessing each QC data type;

- 2) identify the individual(s) responsible for initiating and/or recommending corrective actions;
 - 3) define how the analyst shall treat a data set if the associated QC measurements are unacceptable;
 - 4) specify how out-of-control situations and subsequent corrective actions are to be documented; and,
 - 5) specify procedures for management (including the QA officer) to review corrective action reports.
- b) To the extent possible, samples shall be reported only if all quality control measures are acceptable. If a quality control measure is found to be out of control, and the data is to be reported, all samples associated with the failed quality control measure shall be reported with the appropriate data qualifier(s).

5.5.4 Essential Quality Control Procedures

These general quality control principles shall apply, where applicable, to all testing laboratories. The manner in which they are implemented is dependent on the types of tests performed by the laboratory (i.e., chemical, whole effluent toxicity, microbiological, radiological, air) and are further described in Appendix D. The standards for any given test type shall assure that the applicable principles are addressed:

- a) All laboratories shall have detailed written protocols in place to monitor the following quality controls:
- 1) Positive and negative controls to monitor tests such as blanks, spikes, reference toxicants;
 - 2) Tests to define the variability and/or repeatability of the laboratory results such as replicates;
 - 3) Measures to assure the accuracy of the test method including calibration and/or continuing calibrations, use of certified reference materials, proficiency test samples, or other measures;
 - 4) Measures to evaluate test method capability, such as detection limits and quantitation limits or range of applicability such as linearity;
 - 5) Selection of appropriate formulae to reduce raw data to final results such as regression analysis, comparison to internal/external standard calculations, and statistical analyses;
 - 6) Selection and use of reagents and standards of appropriate quality;
 - 7) Measures to assure the selectivity of the test for its intended purpose; and
 - 8) Measures to assure constant and consistent test conditions (both instrumental and environmental) where required by the test method such as temperature, humidity, light, or specific instrument conditions.
- b) All quality control measures shall be assessed and evaluated on an on-going basis, and quality control acceptance criteria shall be used to determine the usability of the data. (See

Appendix D.)

- c) The laboratory shall have procedures for the development of acceptance/rejection criteria where no method or regulatory criteria exist. (See 5.11.2, Sample Acceptance Policy.)
- d) The quality control protocols specified by the laboratory's method manual (5.10.1.2) shall be followed. The laboratory shall ensure that the essential standards outlined in Appendix D, or mandated methods or regulations (whichever are more stringent) are incorporated into their method manuals. When it is not apparent which is more stringent the QC in the mandated method or regulations is to be followed.

The essential quality control measures for testing are found in Appendix D of this Chapter.

5.6 PERSONNEL

5.6.1 General Requirements for Laboratory Staff

The laboratory shall have sufficient personnel with the necessary education, training, technical knowledge and experience for their assigned functions.

All personnel shall be responsible for complying with all quality assurance/quality control requirements that pertain to their organizational/technical function. Each technical staff member must have a combination of experience and education to adequately demonstrate a specific knowledge of their particular function and a general knowledge of laboratory operations, test methods, quality assurance/quality control procedures and records management.

5.6.2 Laboratory Management Responsibilities

In addition to 5.4.2.d, the laboratory management shall be responsible for:

- a) Defining the minimal level of qualification, experience and skills necessary for all positions in the laboratory. In addition to education and/or experience, basic laboratory skills such as using a balance, colony counting, aseptic or quantitative techniques shall be considered;
- b) Ensuring that all technical laboratory staff have demonstrated capability in the activities for which they are responsible. Such demonstration shall be documented. (See Appendix C);

Note: In laboratories with specialized "work cells" (a well defined group of analysts that together perform the method analysis), the group as a unit must meet the above criteria and this demonstration must be fully documented.

- c) Ensuring that the training of each member of the technical staff is kept up-to-date (on-going) by the following:
 - 1) Evidence must be on file that demonstrates that each employee has read, understood, and is using the latest version of the laboratory's in-house quality documentation, which relates to his/her job responsibilities.
 - 2) Training courses or workshops on specific equipment, analytical techniques or laboratory procedures shall all be documented.
 - 3) Training courses in ethical and legal responsibilities including the potential punishments and penalties for improper, unethical or illegal actions. Evidence must also be on file which

demonstrates that each employee has read, acknowledged and understood their personal ethical and legal responsibilities including the potential punishments and penalties for improper, unethical or illegal actions.

- 4) Analyst training shall be considered up to date if an employee training file contains a certification that technical personnel have read, understood and agreed to perform the most recent version of the test method (the approved method or standard operating procedure as defined by the laboratory document control system, 5.5.2.d) and documentation of continued proficiency by at least one of the following once per year:
 - i. Acceptable performance of a blind sample (single blind to the analyst);
 - ii. Another demonstration of capability;
 - iii. Successful analysis of a blind performance sample on a similar test method using the same technology (e.g., GC/MS volatiles by purge and trap for Methods 524.2, 624 or 5035/8260) would only require documentation for one of the test methods;
 - iv. At least four consecutive laboratory control samples with acceptable levels of precision and accuracy;
 - v. If i-iv cannot be performed, analysis of authentic samples with results statistically indistinguishable from those obtained by another trained analyst.
- d) Documenting all analytical and operational activities of the laboratory;
- e) Supervising all personnel employed by the laboratory;
- f) Ensuring that all sample acceptance criteria (Section 5.11) are verified and that samples are logged into the sample tracking system and properly labeled and stored;
- g) Documenting the quality of all data reported by the laboratory; and
- h) Developing a proactive program for prevention and detection of improper, unethical or illegal actions. Components of this program could include: internal proficiency testing (single and double blind); post-analysis, electronic data and magnetic tape audits; effective reward program to improve employee vigilance and co-monitoring; and separate SOPs identifying appropriate and inappropriate laboratory and instrument manipulation practices.

5.6.3 Records

Records on the relevant qualifications, training, skills and experience of the technical personnel shall be maintained by the laboratory [see 5.6.2.c], including records on demonstrated proficiency for each laboratory test method, such as the criteria outlined in 5.10.2.1 for chemical testing.

5.7 PHYSICAL FACILITIES - ACCOMMODATION AND ENVIRONMENT

5.7.1 Environment

- a) Laboratory accommodation, test areas, energy sources, lighting, heating and ventilation shall be such as to facilitate proper performance of tests.

- b) The environment in which these activities are undertaken shall not invalidate the results or adversely affect the required accuracy of measurement. Particular care shall be taken when such activities are undertaken at sites other than the permanent laboratory premises.
- c) The laboratory shall provide for the effective monitoring, control and recording of environmental conditions as appropriate. Such environmental conditions may include biological sterility, dust, electromagnetic interference, humidity, mains voltage, temperature, and sound and vibration levels.
- d) In instances where monitoring or control of any of the above mentioned items are specified in a test method or by regulation, the laboratory shall meet and document adherence to the laboratory facility requirements.

NOTE: It is the laboratory's responsibility to comply with the relevant health and safety requirements. This aspect, however, is outside the scope of this Standard.

5.7.2 Work Areas

- a) There shall be effective separation between neighboring areas when the activities therein are incompatible including culture handling or incubation areas and volatile organic chemicals handling areas.
- b) Access to and use of all areas affecting the quality of these activities shall be defined and controlled.
- c) Adequate measures shall be taken to ensure good housekeeping in the laboratory and to ensure that any contamination does not adversely affect data quality.
- d) Work spaces must be available to ensure an unencumbered work area. Work areas include:
 - 1) access and entryways to the laboratory;
 - 2) sample receipt area(s);
 - 3) sample storage area(s);
 - 4) chemical and waste storage area(s); and,
 - 5) data handling and storage area(s).

5.8 EQUIPMENT AND REFERENCE MATERIALS

- a) The laboratory shall be furnished with all items of equipment (including reference materials) required for the correct performance of tests for which accreditation is sought. In those cases where the laboratory needs to use equipment outside its permanent control it shall ensure that the relevant requirements of this Standard are met.
- b) All equipment shall be properly maintained, inspected and cleaned. Maintenance procedures shall be documented.
- c) Any item of the equipment which has been subjected to overloading or mishandling, or which gives suspect results, or has been shown by verification or otherwise to be defective, shall be taken out of service, clearly identified and wherever possible stored at a specified place until it has been repaired and shown by calibration, verification or test to perform satisfactorily. The laboratory shall examine the effect of this defect on previous calibrations or tests.

- d) Each item of equipment including reference materials shall be labeled, marked or otherwise identified to indicate its calibration status.
- e) Records shall be maintained of each major item of equipment and all reference materials significant to the tests performed. These records shall include documentation on all routine and non-routine maintenance activities and reference material verifications.

The records shall include:

- 1) the name of the item of equipment;
- 2) the manufacturer's name, type identification, and serial number or other unique identification;
- 3) date received and date placed in service (if available);
- 4) current location, where appropriate;
- 5) if available, condition when received (e.g. new, used, reconditioned);
- 6) copy of the manufacturer's instructions, where available;
- 7) dates and results of calibrations and/or verifications and date of the next calibration and/or verification;
- 8) details of maintenance carried out to date and planned for the future; and,
- 9) history of any damage, malfunction, modification or repair.

5.9 MEASUREMENT TRACEABILITY AND CALIBRATION

5.9.1 General Requirements

All measuring operations and testing equipment having an effect on the accuracy or validity of tests shall be calibrated and/or verified before being put into service and on a continuing basis. The laboratory shall have an established program for the calibration and verification of its measuring and test equipment. This includes balances, thermometers and control standards.

5.9.2 Traceability of Calibration

- a) The overall program of calibration and/or verification and validation of equipment shall be designed and operated so as to ensure that measurements made by the laboratory are traceable to national standards of measurement.
- b) Calibration certificates shall indicate the traceability to national standards of measurement and shall provide the measurement results and associated uncertainty of measurement and/or a statement of compliance with an identified metrological specification. The laboratory shall maintain records of all such certifications.
- c) Where traceability to national standards of measurement is not applicable, the laboratory shall provide satisfactory evidence of correlation of results, for example by participation in a suitable program of interlaboratory comparisons, proficiency testing, or independent analysis.

5.9.3 Reference Standards

- a) Reference standards of measurement held by the laboratory (such as Class S or equivalent weights or traceable thermometers) shall be used for calibration only and for no other purpose, unless it can be demonstrated that their performance as reference standards have not been invalidated. Reference standards of measurement shall be calibrated by a body that can provide traceability. Where possible, this traceability shall be to a national standard of measurement.
- b) There shall be a program of calibration and verification for reference standards.
- c) Where relevant, reference standards and measuring and testing equipment shall be subjected to in-service checks between calibrations and verifications. Reference materials shall be traceable. Where possible, traceability shall be to national or international standards of measurement, or to national or international standard reference materials.

5.9.4 Calibration

Calibration requirements are divided into two parts: (1) requirements for analytical support equipment, and 2) requirements for instrument calibration. In addition, the requirements for instrument calibration are divided into initial instrument calibration and continuing instrument calibration verification.

5.9.4.1 Support Equipment

These standards apply to all devices that may not be the actual test instrument, but are necessary to support laboratory operations. These include but are not limited to: balances, ovens, refrigerators, freezers, incubators, water baths, temperature measuring devices (including thermometers and thermistors), thermal/pressure sample preparation devices and volumetric dispensing devices (such as Eppendorf®, or automatic dilutor/dispensing devices) if quantitative results are dependent on their accuracy, as in standard preparation and dispensing or dilution into a specified volume.

- a) All support equipment shall be maintained in proper working order. The records of all repair and maintenance activities including service calls, shall be kept.
- b) All support equipment shall be calibrated or verified at least annually, using NIST traceable references when available, over the entire range of use. The results of such calibration shall be within the specifications required of the application for which this equipment is used or:
 - 1) The equipment shall be removed from service until repaired; or
 - 2) The laboratory shall maintain records of established correction factors to correct all measurements.
- c) Raw data records shall be retained to document equipment performance.
- d) Prior to use on each working day, balances, ovens, refrigerators, freezers, and water baths shall be checked in the expected use range, with NIST traceable references where available. The acceptability for use or continued use shall be according to the needs of the analysis or application for which the equipment is being used.
- e) Mechanical volumetric dispensing devices including burettes (except Class A glassware) shall

be checked for accuracy on at least a quarterly use basis. Glass microliter syringes are to be considered in the same manner as Class A glassware, but must come with a certificate attesting to established accuracy or the accuracy must be initially demonstrated and documented by the laboratory.

- f) For chemical tests the temperature, cycle time, and pressure of each run of autoclaves must be documented by the use of appropriate chemical indicators or temperature recorders and pressure gauges.
- g) For biological tests that employ autoclave sterilization see section D.3.8.

5.9.4.2 Instrument Calibration:

This standard specifies the essential elements that shall define the procedures and documentation for initial instrument calibration and continuing instrument calibration verification to ensure that the data must be of known quality and be appropriate for a given regulation or decision. This standard does not specify detailed procedural steps ("how to") for calibration, but establishes the essential elements for selection of the appropriate technique(s). This approach allows flexibility and permits the employment of a wide variety of analytical procedures and statistical approaches currently applicable for calibration. If more stringent standards or requirements are included in a mandated test method or by regulation, the laboratory shall demonstrate that such requirements are met. If it is not apparent which standard is more stringent, then the requirements of the regulation or mandated test method are to be followed.

Note: In the following sections, initial instrument calibration is directly used for quantitation and continuing instrument calibration verification is used to confirm the continued validity of the initial calibration.

5.9.4.2.1 Initial Instrument Calibration:

The following items are essential elements of initial instrument calibration:

- a) The details of the initial instrument calibration procedures including calculations, integrations, acceptance criteria and associated statistics must be included or referenced in the test method SOP. When initial instrument calibration procedures are referenced in the test method, then the referenced material must be retained by the laboratory and be available for review.
- b) Sufficient raw data records must be retained to permit reconstruction of the initial instrument calibration, e.g., calibration date, test method, instrument, analysis date, each analyte name, analyst's initials or signature; concentration and response, calibration curve or response factor; or unique equation or coefficient used to reduce instrument responses to concentration.
- c) Sample results must be quantitated from the initial instrument calibration and may not be quantitated from any continuing instrument calibration verification.
- d) All initial instrument calibrations must be verified with a standard obtained from a second manufacturer or lot if the lot can be demonstrated from the manufacturer as prepared independently from other lots. Traceability shall be to a national standard, when available.
- e) Criteria for the acceptance of an initial instrument calibration must be established, e.g., correlation coefficient or relative percent difference. The criteria used must be appropriate to the calibration technique employed.

- f) Results of samples not bracketed by initial instrument calibration standards (within calibration range) must be reported as having less certainty, e.g., defined qualifiers or flags or explained in the case narrative. The lowest calibration standard must be above the detection limit.
- g) If the initial instrument calibration results are outside established acceptance criteria, corrective actions must be performed. Data associated with an unacceptable initial instrument calibration shall not be reported.
- h) Calibration standards must include concentrations at or below the regulatory limit/decision level, if these limits/levels are known by the laboratory, unless these concentrations are below the laboratory's demonstrated detection limits (See D.1.4 Detection Limits)
- i) If a reference or mandated method does not specify the number of calibration standards, the minimum number is two, not including blanks or a zero standard. The laboratory must have a standard operating procedure for determining the number of points for establishing the initial instrument calibration.

5.9.4.2.2 Continuing Instrument Calibration Verification

When an initial instrument calibration is not performed on the day of analysis, the validity of the initial calibration shall be verified prior to sample analyses by a continuing instrument calibration verification with each analytical batch. The following items are essential elements of continuing instrument calibration verification:

- a) The details of the continuing instrument calibration procedure, calculations and associated statistics must be included or referenced in the test method SOP.
- b) A continuing instrument calibration verification must be repeated at the beginning and end of each analytical batch. The concentrations of the calibration verification shall be varied within the established calibration range. If an internal standard is used, only one continuing instrument calibration verification must be analyzed per analytical batch.
- c) Sufficient raw data records must be retained to permit reconstruction of the continuing instrument calibration verification, e.g., test method, instrument, analysis date, each analyte name, concentration and response, calibration curve or response factor, or unique equations or coefficients used to convert instrument responses into concentrations. Continuing calibration verification records must explicitly connect the continuing verification data to the initial instrument calibration.
- d) Criteria for the acceptance of a continuing instrument calibration verification must be established, e.g., relative percent difference.
- e) If the continuing instrument calibration verification results obtained are outside established acceptance criteria, corrective actions must be performed. If routine corrective action procedures fail to produce a second consecutive (immediate) calibration verification within acceptance criteria, then either the laboratory has to demonstrate performance after corrective action with two consecutive successful calibration verifications, or a new initial instrument calibration must be performed. If the laboratory has not demonstrated acceptable performance, sample analyses shall not occur until a new initial calibration curve is established and verified. However, sample data associated with an unacceptable calibration verification may be reported as qualified data under the following special conditions:

- i. When the acceptance criteria for the continuing calibration verification are exceeded high, i.e., high bias, and there are associated samples that are non-detects, then those non-detects may be reported. Otherwise the samples affected by the unacceptable calibration verification shall be reanalyzed after a new calibration curve has been established, evaluated and accepted.
- ii. When the acceptance criteria for the continuing calibration verification are exceeded low, i.e., low bias, those sample results may be reported if they exceed a maximum regulatory limit/decision level. Otherwise the samples affected by the unacceptable verification shall be reanalyzed after a new calibration curve has been established, evaluated and accepted.

5.10 TEST METHODS AND STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

5.10.1 Methods Documentation

- a) The laboratory shall have documented instructions on the use and operation of all relevant equipment, on the handling and preparation of samples and for calibration and/or testing, where the absence of such instructions could jeopardize the calibrations or tests.
- b) All instructions, standards, manuals and reference data relevant to the work of the laboratory shall be maintained up-to-date and be readily available to the staff.

5.10.1.1 Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)

Laboratories shall maintain standard operating procedures that accurately reflect all phases of current laboratory activities such as assessing data integrity, corrective actions, handling customer complaints, and all test methods.

- a) These documents, for example, may be equipment manuals provided by the manufacturer, or internally written documents.
- b) The test methods may be copies of published methods as long as any changes or selected options in the methods are documented and included in the methods manual (see 5.10.1.2).
- c) Copies of all SOPs shall be accessible to all personnel.
- d) The SOPs shall be organized .
- e) Each SOP shall clearly indicate the effective date of the document, the revision number and the signature(s) of the approving authority.

5.10.1.2 Laboratory Method Manual(s)

- a) The laboratory shall have and maintain an in-house methods manual(s) for each accredited analyte or test method.
- b) This manual may consist of copies of published or referenced test methods or standard operating procedures that have been written by the laboratory. In cases where modifications to the published method have been made by the laboratory or where the referenced test method is ambiguous or provides insufficient detail, these changes or clarifications shall be clearly described. Each test method shall include or reference where applicable:

- 1) identification of the test method;
- 2) applicable matrix or matrices;
- 3) detection limit;
- 4) scope and application, including components to be analyzed;
- 5) summary of the test method;
- 6) definitions;
- 7) interferences;
- 8) safety;
- 9) equipment and supplies;
- 10) reagents and standards;
- 11) sample collection, preservation, shipment and storage;
- 12) quality control;
- 13) calibration and standardization;
- 14) procedure;
- 15) calculations;
- 16) method performance;
- 17) pollution prevention;
- 18) data assessment and acceptance criteria for quality control measures;
- 19) corrective actions for out-of-control data;
- 20) contingencies for handling out-of-control or unacceptable data;
- 21) waste management;
- 22) references; and,
- 23) any tables, diagrams, flowcharts and validation data.

5.10.2 Test Methods

The laboratory shall use appropriate test methods and procedures for all tests and related activities within its responsibility (including sample collection, sample handling, transport and storage, sample preparation and sample analysis). The method and procedures shall be consistent with the accuracy required, and with any standard specifications relevant to the calibrations or tests concerned.

- a) When the use of reference test methods for a sample analysis are mandated or requested, only those methods shall be used.
- b) Where test methods are employed that are not required, as in the Performance Based Measurement System approach, the methods shall be fully documented and validated (see 5.10.2.1 and Appendix C), and be available to the client and other recipients of the relevant reports.

5.10.2.1 Demonstration of Capability

- a) Prior to acceptance and institution of any test method, satisfactory demonstration of method capability is required. (See Appendix C and 5.6.2.b.) In general, this demonstration does not test the performance of the method in real world samples, but in the applicable and available clean matrix sample of a matrix in which no target analytes or interferences are present at concentrations that impact the results of a specific test method), e.g., water, solids, biological tissue and air. In addition, for analytes which do not lend themselves to spiking, the demonstration of capability may be performed using quality control samples.
- b) Thereafter, continuing demonstration of method performance, as per the quality control requirements in Appendix D (such as laboratory control samples) is required.

- c) In cases where a laboratory analyzes samples using a test method that has been in use by the laboratory before July 1999, and there have been no significant changes in instrument type, personnel or test method, the continuing demonstration of method performance and the analyst's documentation of continued proficiency shall be acceptable. The laboratory shall have records on file to demonstrate that ~~an initial~~ demonstration of capability is not required.
- d) In all cases, the appropriate forms such as the Certification Statement (Appendix C) must be completed and retained by the laboratory to be made available upon request. All associated supporting data necessary to reproduce the analytical results summarized in the Certification Statement must be retained by the laboratory. (See Appendix C for Certification Statement.)
- e) A demonstration of capability must be completed each time there is a change in instrument type, personnel, or test method.
- f) In laboratories with a specialized "work cell(s)" (a group consisting of analysts with specifically defined tasks that together perform the test method), the group as a unit must meet the above criteria and this demonstration of capability must be fully documented.
- g) When a work cell(s) is employed, and the members of the cell change, the new employee(s) must work with experienced analyst(s) in that area of the workcell where they are employed. This new work cell must demonstrate acceptable performance through acceptable continuing performance checks (appropriate sections of Appendix D, such as laboratory control samples). Such performance must be documented and the four preparation batches following the change in personnel must not result in the failure of any batch acceptance criteria, e.g., method blank and laboratory control sample, or the demonstration of capability must be repeated. In addition, if the entire work cell is changed/replaced, the work cell must perform the demonstration of capability (Appendix C).
- h) When a work cell(s) is employed the performance of the group must be linked to the training record of the individual members of the work cell (see section 5.6.2).

5.10.3 Sample Aliquots

Where sampling (as in obtaining sample aliquots from a submitted sample) is carried out as part of the test method, the laboratory shall use documented procedures and appropriate techniques to obtain representative subsamples.

5.10.4 Data Verification

Calculations and data transfers shall be subject to appropriate checks.

- a) The laboratory shall establish Standard Operating Procedure to ensure that the reported data are free from transcription and calculation errors.
- b) The laboratory shall establish Standard Operating Procedures to ensure that all quality control measures are reviewed, and evaluated before data are reported.
- c) The laboratory shall establish Standard Operating Procedures addressing manual calculations including manual integrations.

5.10.5 Documentation and Labeling of Standards and Reagents

Documented procedures shall exist for the purchase, reception and storage of consumable materials used for the technical operations of the laboratory.

- a) The laboratory shall retain records for all standards, reagents and media including the manufacturer/vendor, the manufacturer's Certificate of Analysis or purity (if supplied), the date of receipt, recommended storage conditions, and an expiration date after which the material shall not be used unless its reliability is verified by the laboratory.
- b) Original containers (such as provided by the manufacturer or vendor) shall be labeled with an expiration date.
- c) Records shall be maintained on reagent and standard preparation. These records shall indicate traceability to purchased stocks or neat compounds, reference to the method of preparation, date of preparation, expiration date and preparer's initials.
- d) All containers of prepared reagents and standards must bear a unique identifier and expiration date and be linked to the documentation requirements in 5.10.5.c above.

5.10.6 Computers and Electronic Data Related Requirements

Where computers, automated equipment, or microprocesors, are used for the capture, processing, manipulation, recording, storage or retrieval of test data, the laboratory shall ensure that:

- a) all requirements of this Standard (i.e. Chapter 5) are met;
- b) computer software is tested and documented to be adequate for use, e.g., internal audits, personnel training, focus point of QA and QC;
- c) procedures are established and implemented for protecting the integrity of data; such procedures shall include, but not be limited to, integrity of data entry or capture, data storage, data transmission and data processing;
- d) computer and automated equipment are maintained to ensure proper functioning and provided with the environmental and operating conditions necessary to maintain the integrity of calibration and test data; and,
- e) it establishes and implements appropriate procedures for the maintenance of security of data including the prevention of unauthorized access to, and the unauthorized amendment of, computer records.

5.11 SAMPLE HANDLING, SAMPLE ACCEPTANCE POLICY AND SAMPLE RECEIPT

While the laboratory may not have control of field sampling activities, the following are essential to ensure the validity of the laboratory's data.

5.11.1 Sample Tracking

- a) The laboratory shall have a documented system for uniquely identifying the items to be tested, to ensure that there can be no confusion regarding the identity of such items at any time. This system shall include identification for all samples, subsamples and subsequent extracts and/or digestates. The laboratory shall assign a unique identification (ID) code to each sample

container received in the laboratory. The use of container shape, size or other physical characteristic, such as amber glass, or purple top, is not an acceptable means of identifying the sample.

- b) This laboratory code shall maintain an unequivocal link with the unique field ID code assigned each container.
- c) The laboratory ID code shall be placed on the sample container as a durable label.
- d) The laboratory ID code shall be entered into the laboratory records (see 5.11.3.d) and shall be the link that associates the sample with related laboratory activities such as sample preparation or calibration.
- e) In cases where the sample collector and analyst are the same individual or the laboratory preassigns numbers to sample containers, the laboratory ID code may be the same as the field ID code.

5.11.2 Sample Acceptance Policy

The laboratory must have a written sample acceptance policy that clearly outlines the circumstances under which samples shall be accepted or rejected. Data from any samples which do not meet the following criteria must be flagged in an unambiguous manner clearly defining the nature and substance of the variation. This sample acceptance policy shall be made available to sample collection personnel and shall include, but is not limited to, the following areas of concern:

- a) Proper, full, and complete documentation, which shall include sample identification, the location, date and time of collection, collector's name, preservation type, sample type and any special remarks concerning the sample;
- b) Proper sample labeling to include unique identification and a labeling system for the samples with requirements concerning the durability of the labels (water resistant) and the use of indelible ink;
- c) Use of appropriate sample containers;
- d) Adherence to specified holding times;
- e) Adequate sample volume. Sufficient sample volume must be available to perform the necessary tests; and
- f) Procedures to be used when samples show signs of damage, contamination or inadequate preservation.

5.11.3 Sample Receipt Protocols

- a) Upon receipt, the condition of the sample, including any abnormalities or departures from standard condition as prescribed in the relevant test method, shall be recorded. All items specified in 5.11.2 above shall be checked.
 - 1) All samples which require thermal preservation shall be considered acceptable if the arrival temperature is either within 2EC of the required temperature or the method specified range. For samples with a specified temperature of 4EC, samples with a temperature ranging from just above the freezing temperature of water to 6EC shall be acceptable. Samples that are

hand delivered to the laboratory immediately after collection may not meet this criteria. In these cases, the samples shall be considered acceptable if there is evidence that the chilling process has begun such as arrival on ice.

- 2) The laboratory shall implement procedures for checking chemical preservation using readily available techniques, such as pH or free chlorine, prior to or during sample preparation or analysis.
- b) The results of all checks shall be recorded.
- c) Where there is any doubt as to the item's suitability for testing, where the sample does not conform to the description provided, or where the test required is not fully specified, the laboratory shall attempt to consult the client for further instruction before proceeding. The laboratory shall establish whether the sample has received all necessary preparation, or whether the client requires preparation to be undertaken or arranged by the laboratory. If the sample does not meet the sample receipt acceptance criteria listed in this standard, the laboratory shall either:
- 1) Retain correspondence and/or records of conversations concerning the final disposition of rejected samples; or
 - 2) Fully document any decision to proceed with the analysis of samples not meeting acceptance criteria.
 - i. The condition of these samples shall, at a minimum, be noted on the chain of custody or transmittal form and laboratory receipt documents.
 - ii. The analysis data shall be appropriately "qualified" on the final report.
- d) The laboratory shall utilize a permanent chronological record such as a log book or electronic database to document receipt of all sample containers.
- 1) This sample receipt log shall record the following:
 - i. Client/Project Name,
 - ii. Date and time of laboratory receipt,
 - iii. Unique laboratory ID code (see 5.11.1), and,
 - iv. Signature or initials of the person making the entries.
 - 2) During the log-in process, the following information must be unequivocally linked to the log record or included as a part of the log. If such information is recorded/documented elsewhere, the records shall be part of the laboratory's permanent records, easily retrievable upon request and readily available to individuals who will process the sample. Note: the placement of the laboratory ID number on the sample container is not considered a permanent record.
 - i. The field ID code which identifies each container must be linked to the laboratory ID code in the sample receipt log.

- ii. The date and time of sample collection must be linked to the sample container and to the date and time of receipt in the laboratory.
 - iii. The requested analyses (including applicable approved test method numbers) must be linked to the laboratory ID code.
 - iv. Any comments resulting from inspection for sample rejection shall be linked to the laboratory ID code.
- e) All documentation, such as memos or transmittal forms, that is transmitted to the laboratory by the sample transmitter shall be retained.
- f) A complete chain of custody record form (Sections 5.12.3 and Appendix E), if utilized, shall be maintained.

5.11.4 Storage Conditions

The laboratory shall have documented procedures and appropriate facilities to avoid deterioration, contamination, or damage to the sample during storage, handling, preparation, and testing; any relevant instructions provided with the item shall be followed. Where items have to be stored or conditioned under specific environmental conditions, these conditions shall be maintained, monitored and recorded.

- a) Samples shall be stored according to the conditions specified by preservation protocols:
- 1) Samples which require thermal preservation shall be stored under refrigeration which is $\pm 2^\circ\text{E}$ of the specified preservation temperature unless method specific criteria exist. For samples with a specified storage temperature of 4°EC , storage at a temperature above the freezing point of water to 6°EC shall be acceptable.
 - 2) Samples shall be stored away from all standards, reagents, food and other potentially contaminating sources. Samples shall be stored in such a manner to prevent cross contamination.
- b) Sample fractions, extracts, leachates and other sample preparation products shall be stored according to 5.11.4.a above or according to specifications in the test method.
- c) Where a sample or portion of the sample is to be held secure (for example, for reasons of record, safety or value, or to enable check calibrations or tests to be performed later), the laboratory shall have storage and security arrangements that protect the condition and integrity of the secured items or portions concerned.

5.11.5 Sample Disposal

The laboratory shall have standard operating procedures for the disposal of samples, digestates, leachates and extracts or other sample preparation products.

5.12 RECORDS

The laboratory shall maintain a record system to suit its particular circumstances and ~~complies~~ comply with any applicable regulations. The system shall produce unequivocal, accurate records which document all laboratory activities. The laboratory shall retain all original observations,

calculations and derived data, calibration records and a copy of the test report for a minimum of five years.

There are two levels of sample handling: 1) sample tracking and 2) legal chain of custody protocols, which are used for evidentiary or legal purposes. All essential requirements for sample tracking (e.g., chain of custody form) are outlined in Sections 5.12.1, 5.12.2 and 5.12.3. If a client specifies that a sample will be used for evidentiary purposes, then a laboratory shall have a written SOP for how that laboratory will carry out legal chain of custody for example, ASTM D 4840-95 and Manual for the Certification of Laboratories Analyzing Drinking Water, March 1997, Appendix A.

5.12.1 Record Keeping System and Design

The record keeping system must allow historical reconstruction of all laboratory activities that produced the analytical data. The history of the sample must be readily understood through the documentation. This shall include interlaboratory transfers of samples and/or extracts.

- a) The records shall include the identity of personnel involved in sampling, sample receipt, preparation, calibration or testing.
- b) All information relating to the laboratory facilities equipment, analytical test methods, and related laboratory activities, such as sample receipt, sample preparation, or data verification shall be documented.
- c) The record keeping system shall facilitate the retrieval of all working files and archived records for inspection and verification purposes.,e.g., set format for naming electronic files.
- d) All changes to records shall be signed or initialed by responsible staff. The reason for the signature or initials shall be clearly indicated in the records such as "sampled by," "prepared by," or "reviewed by."
- e) All generated data except those that are generated by automated data collection systems, shall be recorded directly, promptly and legibly in permanent ink.
- f) Entries in records shall not be obliterated by methods such as erasures, overwritten files or markings. All corrections to record-keeping errors shall be made by one line marked through the error. The individual making the correction shall sign (or initial) and date the correction. These criteria also shall apply to electronically maintained records.
- g) Refer to 5.10.6 for Computer and Electronic Data.

5.12.2 Records Management and Storage

- a) All records (including those pertaining to calibration and test equipment), certificates and reports shall be safely stored, held secure and in confidence to the client. NELAP-related records shall be available to the accrediting authority.
- b) All records, including those specified in 5.12.3 shall be retained for a minimum of five years from generation of the last entry in the records. All information necessary for the historical reconstruction of data must be maintained by the laboratory. Records which are stored only on electronic media must be supported by the hardware and software necessary for their retrieval.
- c) Records that are stored or generated by computers or personal computers shall have hard copy or write-protected backup copies.

- d) The laboratory shall establish a record management system for control of laboratory notebooks, instrument logbooks, standards logbooks, and records for data reduction, validation storage and reporting.
- e) Access to archived information shall be documented with an access log. These records shall be protected against fire, theft, loss, environmental deterioration, vermin and, in the case of electronic records, electronic or magnetic sources.
- f) The laboratory shall have a plan to ensure that the records are maintained or transferred according to the clients' instructions (see 4.1.8.e) in the event that a laboratory transfers ownership or goes out of business. In addition, in cases of bankruptcy, appropriate regulatory and state legal requirements concerning laboratory records must be followed.

5.12.3 Laboratory Sample Tracking

5.12.3.1 Sample Handling

A record of all procedures to which a sample is subjected while in the possession of the laboratory shall be maintained. These shall include but are not limited to all records pertaining to:

- a) Sample preservation including appropriateness of sample container and compliance with holding time requirement;
- b) Sample identification, receipt, acceptance or rejection and log-in;
- c) Sample storage and tracking including shipping receipts, sample transmittal forms, (chain of custody form); and
- d) The laboratory shall have documented procedures for the receipt and retention of test items, including all provisions necessary to protect the integrity of samples.

5.12.3.2 Laboratory Support Activities

In addition to documenting all the above-mentioned activities, the following shall be retained:

- a) All original raw data, whether hard copy or electronic, for calibrations, samples and quality control measures, including analysts work sheets and data output records (chromatograms, strip charts, and other instrument response readout records);
- b) A written description or reference to the specific test method used which includes a description of the specific computational steps used to translate parametric observations into a reportable analytical value;
- c) Copies of final reports;
- d) Archived standard operating procedures;
- e) Correspondence relating to laboratory activities for a specific project;
- f) All corrective action reports, audits and audit responses;
- g) Proficiency test results and raw data; and,

h) Results of data review, verification, and cross-checking procedures.

5.12.3.3 Analytical Records

The essential information to be associated with analysis, such as strip charts, tabular printouts, computer data files, analytical notebooks, and run logs, shall include:

- a) Laboratory sample ID code;
- b) Date of analysis and time of analysis is required if the holding time is 72 hours or less or when time critical steps are included in the analysis, e.g., extractions, and incubations;
- c) Instrumentation identification and instrument operating conditions/parameters (or reference to such data);
- d) Analysis type;
- e) All manual calculations, e.g., manual integrations; and,
- f) Analyst's or operator's initials/signature;
- g) Sample preparation including cleanup, separation protocols, incubation periods or subculture, ID codes, volumes, weights, instrument printouts, meter readings, calculations, reagents;
- h) Sample analysis;
- i) Standard and reagent origin, receipt, preparation, and use;
- j) Calibration criteria, frequency and acceptance criteria;
- k) Data and statistical calculations, review, confirmation, interpretation, assessment and reporting conventions;
- l) Quality control protocols and assessment;
- m) Electronic data security, software documentation and verification, software and hardware audits, backups, and records of any changes to automated data entries;
- n) Method performance criteria including expected quality control requirements.

5.12.3.4 Administrative Records

The following shall be maintained:

- a) Personnel qualifications, experience and training records;
- b) Records of demonstration of capability for each analyst; and
- c) A log of names, initials and signatures for all individuals who are responsible for signing or initialing any laboratory record.

5.13 LABORATORY REPORT FORMAT AND CONTENTS

The results of each test, or series of tests carried out by the laboratory shall be reported accurately, clearly, unambiguously and objectively. The results shall normally be reported in a test report and shall include all the information necessary for the interpretation of the test results and all information required by the method used. Some regulatory reporting requirements or formats such as monthly operating reports may not require all items listed below, however, the laboratory shall provide all the required information to their client for use in preparing such regulatory reports.

a) Except as discussed in 5.13.b, each report to an outside client shall include at least the following information (those prefaced with "where relevant" are not mandatory):

- 1) a title, e.g., "Test Report", or "Test Certificate", "Certificate of Results" or "Laboratory Results";
- 2) name and address of laboratory, and location where the test was carried out if different from the address of the laboratory and phone number with name of contact person for questions;
- 3) unique identification of the certificate or report (such as serial number) and of each page, and the total number of pages;

This requirement may be presented in several ways:

- i. The total number of pages may be listed on the first page of the report as long as the subsequent pages are identified by the unique report identification and consecutive numbers, or
- ii. Each page is identified with the unique report identification, the pages are identified as a number of the total report pages (example: 3 of 10, or 1 of 20).

Other methods of identifying the pages in the report may be acceptable as long as it is clear to the reader that discrete pages are associated with a specific report, and that the report contains a specified number of pages.

- 4) name and address of client, where appropriate and project name if applicable;
- 5) description and unambiguous identification of the tested sample including the client identification code;
- 6) identification of test results derived from any sample that did not meet NELAC sample acceptance requirements such as improper container, holding time, or temperature;
- 7) date of receipt of sample, date and time of sample collection, date(s) of performance test, and time of sample preparation and/or analysis if the required holding time for either activity is less than or equal to 72 hours;
- 8) identification of the test method used, or unambiguous description of any non-standard method used;
- 9) if the laboratory collected the sample, reference to sampling procedure;

- 10) any deviations from (such as failed quality control), additions to or exclusions from the test method (such as environmental conditions), and any non-standard conditions that may have affected the quality of results, and including the use and definitions of data qualifiers;
 - 11) measurements, examinations and derived results, supported by tables, graphs, sketches and photographs as appropriate, and any failures identified; identify whether data are calculated on a dry weight or wet weight basis; identify the reporting units such as Fg/l or mg/kg; and for Whole Effluent Toxicity, identify the statistical package used to provide data;
 - 12) when required, a statement of the estimated uncertainty of the test result;
 - 13) a signature and title, or an equivalent electronic identification of the person(s) accepting responsibility for the content of the certificate or report (however produced), and date of issue;
 - 14) at the laboratory's discretion, a statement to the effect that the results relate only to the items tested or to the sample as received by the laboratory;
 - 15) at the laboratory's discretion, a statement that the certificate or report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory;
 - 16) clear identification of all test data provided by outside sources, such as subcontracted laboratories, clients, etc; and,
 - 17) clear identification of numerical results with values outside of quantitation limits
- b) Laboratories that are operated by a facility and whose sole function is to provide data to the facility management for compliance purposes (in-house or captive laboratories) shall have all applicable information specified in 1 through 17 above readily available for review by the accrediting authority. However formal reports detailing the information are not required if:
- 1) The in-house laboratory is itself responsible for preparing the regulatory reports; or
 - 2) The laboratory provides information to another individual within the organization for preparation of regulatory reports. The facility management must ensure that the appropriate report items are in the report to the regulatory authority if such information is required.
- c) Where the certificate or report contains results of tests performed by subcontractors, these results shall be clearly identified by subcontractor name or applicable accreditation number.
- d) After issuance of the report, the laboratory report shall remain unchanged. Material amendments to a calibration certificate, test report or test certificate after issue shall be made only in the form of a further document, or data transfer including the statement "Supplement to Test Report or Test Certificate, serial number . . . [or as otherwise identified]", or equivalent form of wording. Such amendments shall meet all the relevant requirements of this Standard.
- e) The laboratory shall notify clients promptly, in writing, of any event such as the identification of defective measuring or test equipment that casts doubt on the validity of results given in any calibration certificate, test report or test certificate or amendment to a report or certificate.
- f) The laboratory shall, where clients require transmission of test results by telephone, telex, facsimile or other electronic or electromagnetic means, follow documented procedures that

ensure that the requirements of this Standard are met and that ~~confidentiality is preserved~~ all reasonable steps are taken to preserve confidentiality.

- g) Laboratories accredited to be in compliance with these standards shall certify that the test results meet all requirements of NELAC or provide reasons and/or justification if they do not.

5.14 SUBCONTRACTING ANALYTICAL SAMPLES

- a) The laboratory shall advise the client in writing of its intention to subcontract any portion of the testing to another party.
- b) Where a laboratory subcontracts any part of the testing covered under NELAP, this work shall be placed with a laboratory accredited under NELAP for the tests to be performed.
- c) The laboratory shall retain records demonstrating that the above requirements have been met.

5.15 OUTSIDE SUPPORT SERVICES AND SUPPLIES

- a) Where the laboratory procures outside services and supplies, other than those referred to in this Standard, in support of tests, the laboratory shall use only those outside support services and supplies that are of adequate quality to sustain confidence in the laboratory's tests.
- b) Where no independent assurance of the quality of outside support services or supplies is available, the laboratory shall have procedures to ensure that purchased equipment, materials and services comply with specified requirements. The laboratory shall, ensure that purchased equipment and consumable materials are not used until they have been inspected, calibrated or otherwise verified as complying with any standard specifications relevant to the calibrations or tests concerned.
- c) The laboratory shall maintain records of all suppliers from whom it obtains support services or supplies required for tests.

5.16 COMPLAINTS

The laboratory shall have documented policy and procedures for the resolution of complaints received from clients or other parties about the laboratory's activities. Where a complaint, or any other circumstance, raises doubt concerning the laboratory's compliance with the laboratory's policies or procedures, or with the requirements of this Standard or otherwise concerning the quality of the laboratory's calibrations or tests, the laboratory shall ensure that those areas of activity and responsibility involved are promptly audited in accordance with Section 5.5.3.1. Records of the complaint and subsequent actions shall be maintained.

QUALITY SYSTEMS
APPENDIX A

REFERENCES

Appendix A - REFERENCES

40 CFR Part 136, Appendix A, paragraphs 8.1.1 and 8.2

American Association for Laboratory Accreditation April 1996. General Requirements for Accreditation

"American National Standards Specification and Guidelines for Quality Systems for Environmental Data Collection and Environmental Technology Programs (ANSI/ASQC E-4)", 1994

Catalog of Bacteria, American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD

EPA 2185 - Good Automated Laboratory Practices, 1995 available at www.epa.gov/docs/etsdwe1/irm_galp/

"Glossary of Quality Assurance Terms and Acronyms", Quality Assurance Division, Office of Research and Development, USEPA

"Guidance on the Evaluation of Safe Drinking Water Act Compliance Monitoring Results from Performance Based Methods", September 30, 1994, Second draft.

International vocabulary of basic and general terms in metrology (VIM): 1984. Issued by BIPM, IEC, ISO and OIML

ISO Guide 3534-1: "Statistics, vocabulary and symbols - Part 1: Probability and general statistical terms"

ISO Guide 7218: Microbiology - General Guidance for Microbiological Examinations

ISO Guide 8402: 1986. Quality - Vocabulary

ISO Guide 9000: 1994 Quality management and quality assurance standards - Guidelines for selection and use

ISO Guide 9001: 1994 Quality Systems - Model for quality assurance in design/development, production, installation and servicing

ISO Guide 9002: 1994 Quality systems - Model for quality assurance in production and installation

ISO/IEC Guide 2: 1986. General terms and their definitions concerning standardization and related activities

ISO/IEC Guide 25: 1990. General requirements for the competence of calibration and testing laboratories

"Laboratory Biosafety Manual", World Health Organization, Geneva, 1983

Manual for the Certification of Laboratories Analyzing Drinking Water Revision 4, EPA 815-B-97-001

Manual of Method for General Bacteriology, Philipp Gerhard et al., American Society for Microbiology, Washington, 1981

Performance Based Measurement System, EPA EMMC Method Panel, PBMS Workgroup, 1996

EPA/600/4-90/027F Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms, 4th Ed., Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC, 1993.

EPA/600/4-91/002 Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, 3rd Ed., Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC, 1994.

EPA/600/4-91/003 Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Water to Marine and Estuarine Organisms, 2nd Ed., Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC, 1994.

EPA/600/4-90/031 Manual for Evaluation of Laboratories Performing Aquatic Toxicity Tests., Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC, 1991.

EPA/600/R-94/025 Methods for Assessing the Toxicity of Sediment-associated Contaminants with Estuarine and Marine Amphipods, Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC, 1994.

EPA/600/R-94/024 Methods for Measuring the Toxicity and Bioaccumulation of Sediment-associated Contaminants with Freshwater Invertebrates, Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC, 1994.

EPA/823/B-98/004 Evaluation of Dredged Material Proposed for Discharge in Waters of the U.S. - Inland Testing Manual. Office of Water, Washington, DC, 1994.

EPA/503/8-91/001 Evaluation of Dredged Material Proposed for Ocean Disposal - Testing Manual. Office of Water, Washington, DC, 1991.

EPA/600/3-88/029 Protocol for Short-term Toxicity Screening of Hazardous Wastes, Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC, 1991.

EPA/600/3-89/013 Ecological Assessment of Hazardous Waste Sites, Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC, 1991.

ASTM E1598-94 Conducting Early Seedling Growth Tests, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA 1999..

ASTM E11676-97 Conducting a Laboratory Soil Toxicity Test with Lumbricid Earthworm *Eisenia foetida*, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA 1999..

APPENDIX B

(Reserved)

QUALITY SYSTEMS
APPENDIX C

DEMONSTRATION OF CAPABILITY

Appendix C - DEMONSTRATION OF CAPABILITY

C.1 PROCEDURE FOR DEMONSTRATION OF CAPABILITY

A demonstration of capability (DOC) must be made prior to using any test method, and at any time there is a change in instrument type, personnel or test method (see 5.10.2.1).

Note: In laboratories with specialized "work cells" (a well defined group of analysts that together perform the method analysis), the group as a unit must meet the above criteria and this demonstration must be fully documented.

In general, this demonstration does not test the performance of the method in real world samples, but in the applicable and available clean matrix (a sample of a matrix in which no target analytes or interferences are present at concentrations that impact the results of a specific test method), e.g., water, solids, biological tissue and air. However, before any results are reported using this method, actual sample spike results may be used to meet this standard, i.e., at least four consecutive matrix spikes within the last twelve months. In addition, for analytes which do not lend themselves to spiking, e.g., TSS, the demonstration of capability may be performed using quality control samples.

All demonstrations shall be documented through the use of the form in this appendix.

The following steps, which are adapted from the EPA test methods published in 40 CFR Part 136, Appendix A, shall be performed if required by mandatory test method or regulation. Note: For analytes for which spiking is not an option and for which quality control samples are not readily available, the 40 CFR approach is one way to perform this demonstration. It is the responsibility of the laboratory to document that other approaches to DOC are adequate, this shall be documented in the laboratory's Quality Manual, e.g., for Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing see section D.2.1.a.1.

- a) A quality control sample shall be obtained from an outside source. If not available, the QC sample may be prepared by the laboratory using stock standards that are prepared independently from those used in instrument calibration.
- b) The analyte(s) shall be diluted in a volume of clean matrix sufficient to prepare four aliquots at the concentration specified, or if unspecified, to a concentration approximately 10 times the method-stated or laboratory-calculated method detection limit.
- c) At least four aliquots shall be prepared and analyzed according to the test method either concurrently or over a period of days.
- d) Using all of the results, calculate the mean recovery (0) in the appropriate reporting units (such as Fg/L) and the standard deviations of the population sample (n-1) (in the same units) for each parameter of interest. When it is not possible to determine mean and standard deviations, such as for presence/absence and logarithmic values, the laboratory must assess performance against established and documented criteria.
- e) Compare the information from (d) above to the corresponding acceptance criteria for precision and accuracy in the test method (if applicable) or in laboratory-generated acceptance criteria (if there are not established mandatory criteria). If all parameters meet the acceptance criteria, the analysis of actual samples may begin. If any one of the parameters do not meet the acceptance criteria, the performance is unacceptable for that parameter.

- f) When one or more of the tested parameters fail at least one of the acceptance criteria, the analyst must proceed according to 1) or 2) below.
 - 1) Locate and correct the source of the problem and repeat the test for all parameters of interest beginning with c) above.
 - 2) Beginning with c) above, repeat the test for all parameters that failed to meet criteria. Repeated failure, however, confirms a general problem with the measurement system. If this occurs, locate and correct the source of the problem and repeat the test for all compounds of interest beginning with c).

C.2 CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

The following certification statement shall be used to document the completion of each demonstration of capability. A copy of the certification statement shall be retained in the personnel records of each affected employee (see 5.6.3 and 5.12.3.4.b).

**Demonstration of Capability
Certification Statement**

Date:
Laboratory Name:
Laboratory Address:
Analyst(s) Name(s):

Page __ of __

Matrix:

(examples: laboratory pure water, soil, air, solid, biological tissue)

Method number, SOP#, Rev#, and Analyte, or Class of Analytes or Measured Parameters

(examples: barium by 200.7, trace metals by 6010, benzene by 8021, etc.)

We, the undersigned, CERTIFY that:

1. The analysts identified above, using the cited test method(s), which is in use at this facility for the analyses of samples under the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program, have met the Demonstration of Capability.
2. The test method(s) was performed by the analyst(s) identified on this certification.
3. A copy of the test method(s) and the laboratory-specific SOPs are available for all personnel on-site.
4. The data associated with the demonstration capability are true, accurate, complete and self-explanatory (1).
5. All raw data (including a copy of this certification form) necessary to reconstruct and validate these analyses have been retained at the facility, and that the associated information is well organized and available for review by authorized assessors.

Technical Director's Name and Title

Signature

Date

Quality Assurance Officer's Name

Signature

Date

This certification form must be completed each time a demonstration of capability study is completed.

(1) True: Consistent with supporting data.

Accurate: Based on good laboratory practices consistent with sound scientific principles/practices.

Complete: Includes the results of all supporting performance testing.

Self-Explanatory: Data properly labeled and stored so that the results are clear and require no additional explanation.

QUALITY SYSTEMS
APPENDIX D

**ESSENTIAL QUALITY CONTROL
REQUIREMENTS**

Appendix D - ESSENTIAL QUALITY CONTROL REQUIREMENTS

The quality control protocols specified by the laboratory's method manual (5.10.1.2) shall be followed. The laboratory shall ensure that the essential standards outlined in Appendix D are incorporated into their method manuals.

All quality control measures shall be assessed and evaluated on an on-going basis and quality control acceptance criteria shall be used to determine the validity of the data. The laboratory shall have procedures for the development of acceptance/rejection criteria where no method or regulatory criteria exists.

The requirements from the body of Chapter 5, e.g., 5.5.4, apply to all types of testing. The specific manner in which they are implemented is detailed in each of the sections of this Appendix, i.e., chemical testing, W.E.T. testing, microbiology testing, radiochemical testing and air testing.

D.1 CHEMICAL TESTING

D.1.1 Positive and Negative Controls

a) Negative Controls

- 1) Method Blanks - Shall be performed at a frequency of one per preparation batch of samples per matrix type. The results of this analysis shall be one of the QC measures to be used to assess the batch. The source of contamination must be investigated and measures taken to correct, minimize or eliminate the problem if
 - i) the blank contamination exceeds a concentration greater than 1/10 of the measured concentration of any sample in the associated sample batch or
 - ii) the blank contamination exceeds the concentration present in the samples and is greater than 1/10 of the specified regulatory limit.

Any sample associated with the contaminated blank shall be reprocessed for analysis or the results reported with appropriate data qualifying codes.

b) Positive Controls

- 1) Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) - Shall be analyzed at a minimum of 1 per preparation batch of 20 or less samples per matrix type, except for analytes for which spiking solutions are not available such as total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, total volatile solids, total solids, pH, color, odor, temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity. The results of these samples shall be used to assess the batch. NOTE: the matrix spike (see 2 below) may be used in place of this control as long as the acceptance criteria are as stringent as for the LCS.
- 2) Matrix Spikes (MS) - Shall be performed at a frequency of one out of every 20 samples per matrix type prepared over time, except for analytes for which spiking solutions are not available such as, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, total volatile solids, total solids, pH, color, odor, temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity. The selected sample(s) shall be rotated among client samples so that various matrix problems may be noted and/or addressed. Poor performance in a matrix spike may indicate a problem with the sample composition and shall be reported to the client whose sample was used for the spike.

- 3) Surrogates - Surrogate compounds must be added to all samples, standards, and blanks, for all organic chromatography methods except when the matrix precludes its use or when a surrogate is not available. Poor surrogate recovery may indicate a problem with the sample composition and shall be reported to the client whose sample produced the poor recovery.
- 4) If the mandated or requested test method does not specify the spiking components, the laboratory shall spike all reportable components to be reported in the Laboratory Control Sample and Matrix Spike. However, in cases where the components interfere with accurate assessment (such as simultaneously spiking chlordane, toxaphene and PCBs in Method 608), the test method has an extremely long list of components, the components coelute or components are incompatible, a representative number (at a minimum 10%) of the listed components may be used to control the test method. The selected components of each spiking mix shall represent all chemistries, elution patterns and masses, permit specified analytes and other client requested components. However, the laboratory shall ensure that all reported components are used in the spike mixture within a two-year time period, unless the spiking list is specified by the reference method.

D.1.2 Analytical Variability/Reproducibility

Matrix Spike Duplicates (MSDs) or Laboratory Duplicates - Shall be analyzed at a minimum of 1 in 20 samples per matrix type per sample extraction or preparation method. The laboratory shall document their procedure to select the use of appropriate type of duplicate. The selected sample(s) shall be rotated among client samples so that various matrix problems may be noted and/or addressed. Poor performance in the duplicates may indicate a problem with the sample composition and shall be reported to the client whose sample was used for the duplicate.

D.1.3 Method Evaluation

In order to ensure the accuracy of the reported result, the following procedures shall be in place:

- a) Demonstration of Analytical Capability - (Section 5.10.2.1) shall be performed initially (prior to the analysis of any samples) and with a significant change in instrument type, personnel, matrix or test method.
- b) Calibration - Calibration protocols specified in Section 5.9.4 shall be followed.
- c) Proficiency Test Samples - The results of such analyses (5.4.2.j or 5.5.3.4) shall be used by the laboratory to evaluate the ability of the laboratory to produce accurate data.

D.1.4 Detection Limits

The laboratory shall utilize a test method that provides a detection limit that is appropriate and relevant for the intended use of the data. Detection limits shall be determined by the protocol in the mandated test method or applicable regulation, e.g., Method Detection Limit (MDL). If the protocol for determining detection limits is not specified, the selection of the procedure must reflect instrument limitations and the intended application of the test method.

- a) A detection limit study is not required for any component for which spiking solutions or quality control samples are not available such as temperature.

- b) The detection limit shall be initially determined for the compounds of interest in each test method in a matrix in which there are not target analytes nor interferences at a concentration that would impact the results or the detection limit must be determined in the matrix of interest (see definition of matrix).
- c) Detection limits must be determined each time there is a change in the test method that affects how the test is performed, or when a change in instrumentation occurs that affects the sensitivity of the analysis.
- d) All sample processing steps of the analytical method shall be included in the determination of the detection limit.
- e) All procedures used must be documented. Documentation must include the matrix type. All supporting data must be retained.
- f) The laboratory must have established procedures to relate detection limits with quantitation limits.
- g) The test method's quantitation limits must be established and must be above the detection limits.

D.1.5 Data Reduction

The procedures for data reduction, such as use of linear regression, shall be documented.

D.1.6 Quality of Standards and Reagents

- a) The source of standards shall comply with 5.9.2.
- b) Reagent Quality, Water Quality and Checks:
 - 1) Reagents - In methods where the purity of reagents is not specified, analytical reagent grade shall be used. Reagents of lesser purity than those specified by the test method shall not be used. The labels on the container should be checked to verify that the purity of the reagents meets the requirements of the particular test method. Such information shall be documented.
 - 2) Water - The quality of water sources shall be monitored and documented and shall meet method specified requirements.
 - 3) The laboratory will verify the concentration of titrants in accordance with written laboratory procedures.

D.1.7 Selectivity

- a) Absolute retention time and relative retention time aid in the identification of components in chromatographic analyses and to evaluate the effectiveness of a column to separate constituents. The laboratory shall develop and document acceptance criteria for retention time windows.
- b) A confirmation shall be performed to verify the compound identification when positive results are detected on a sample from a location that has not been previously tested by the laboratory.

Such confirmations shall be performed on organic tests such as pesticides, herbicides, or acid extractable or when recommended by the analytical test method except when the analysis involves the use of a mass spectrometer. Confirmation is required unless stipulated in writing by the client. All confirmation shall be documented.

- c) The laboratory shall document acceptance criteria for mass spectral tuning.

D.1.8 Constant and Consistent Test Conditions

- a) The laboratory shall assure that the test instruments consistently operate within the specifications required of the application for which the equipment is used.
- b) Glassware Cleaning - Glassware shall be cleaned to meet the sensitivity of the test method.

Any cleaning and storage procedures that are not specified by the test method shall be documented in laboratory records and SOPs.

D.2 TOXICITY TESTING

These standards apply to laboratories measuring the toxicity and/or bioaccumulation of contaminants in general. They are applicable to toxicity or bioaccumulation test methods for evaluating effluents (whole effluent toxicity or WET), receiving waters, sediments, elutriates, leachates and soils. In addition to the essential quality control standards described below, some methods may have additional or other requirements based on factors such as the type of matrix evaluated. Additional information can be found in the following methods manuals (or most recent edition): EPA/600/4-91/002, EPA/600/4-91/003, EPA/600/4-90/027F (WET testing), EPA/600/4-90/031 (general aquatic toxicity testing), EPA/600/R-94/025, EPA/600/R-94/024, EPA/503/R-91/001, EPA/823/B-98/004 (sediments and elutriates), EPA/600/3-88/029, EPA/600/3-89/013, ASTM E1598-94 AND ASTM 1676-97 (soils).

D.2.1 Positive and Negative Controls

- a) Positive Control - Reference Toxicants - Reference toxicant tests indicate the sensitivity of the test organisms being used and demonstrate a laboratory's ability to obtain consistent results with the test method.
- 1) The laboratory must demonstrate its ability to obtain consistent results with reference toxicants before it performs toxicity tests with effluents or other environmental samples for regulatory compliance purposes.
- i) To meet this requirement, the intra-laboratory precision must be determined by performing five or more acceptable reference toxicant tests for each test method and species with different batches of organisms and appropriate negative controls (water, sediment, or soil).
- ii) An intralaboratory coefficient of variation (%CV) is not established for each test method. However, a testing laboratory shall maintain control charts for the control performance and reference toxicant statistical endpoint (such as NOEC or ECp) and shall evaluate the intralaboratory variability with a specific reference toxicant for each test method.

- 2) Ongoing laboratory performance shall be demonstrated by performing regular reference toxicant tests for each test method and species in accordance with the minimum frequency requirements specified in D.2.1.a.3.
 - i) Intralaboratory precision on an ongoing basis must be determined through the use of reference toxicant tests and plotted in quality control charts. The control charts shall be plotted as point estimate values, such as EC25 for chronic tests and LC50 for acute tests, or as appropriate hypothesis test values, such as the NOEC or NOAEC, over time within a laboratory.
 - ii) For endpoints that are point estimates (ICp, ECp) control charts are constructed by plotting the cumulative mean and the control limits which consist of the upper and lower 95% confidence limits (± 2 std. dev.); these values are re-calculated with each successive test result. For endpoints from hypothesis tests (NOEC, NOAEC) the values are plotted directly and the control limits consist of one concentration interval above and below the concentration representing central tendency (i.e. the mode).
 - iii) After 20 data points are collected for a test method and species, the control chart is maintained using only the 20 ~~most recent~~ last data points, i.e. each successive mean value and control limit is calculated using only the last 20 values.
 - iv) Control chart limits are expected to be exceeded occasionally regardless of how well a laboratory performs. Acceptance limits for point estimates (ICp, ECp) which are based on 95% confidence limits should theoretically be exceeded for one in twenty tests. Depending on the dilution factor and test sensitivity, control charts based on hypothesis test values (NOEC, NOAEC) may be expected to be exceeded on a similar frequency. Test results which fall outside of control chart limits at a frequency of 5% or less, or which fall just outside control chart limits (especially in the case of highly proficient laboratories which may develop relatively narrow acceptance limits over time), are not rejected *de facto*. Such data are evaluated in comparison with control chart characteristics including the width of the acceptance limits and the degree of departure of the value from acceptance limits.
 - v) Laboratories shall develop an acceptance/rejection policy for reference toxicant data which considers test dilution factor, test sensitivity (for hypothesis test values), testing frequency, out-of-control test frequency, relative width of acceptance limits and degree of difference between test results and acceptance limits.
 - vi) In the case of reference toxicant data which fails to meet acceptance criteria, the results of environmental toxicity tests conducted during the affected period may be suspect and regarded as provisional. In this case the test procedure is examined for defects and the test repeated if necessary, using a different batch of organisms, as soon as possible or the data is qualified.
- 3) The frequency of reference toxicant testing shall comply with the EPA or state permitting authority requirements. The following minimum frequency shall be met:
 - i) Each batch of test organisms obtained from an outside source, field collection or from laboratory spawning of field-collected species not amenable to routine laboratory culture (for example, sea urchins and bivalve mollusks) must be evaluated with a reference toxicant test of the same type as the environmental toxicity test within the seven days preceding the test or concurrently with the test.

- ii) Test organisms obtained from in-house laboratory cultures must be tested with reference toxicant tests at least once each month for each test method. However, if a given species produced by in-house cultures is used only monthly, or less frequently, a reference toxicant test of the same type must be performed with each environmental toxicity test.
 - iii) For test methods and species commonly used in the laboratory, but which are tested on a seasonal basis (e.g. sea urchin fertilization tests), reference toxicant tests must be conducted for each month the method is in use.
- 4) These standards do not currently specify a particular reference toxicant and dilution series however, if the state or permitting authority identifies a reference toxicant or dilution series for a particular test, the laboratory shall follow the specified requirements. All reference toxicant tests conducted for a given test method and species must use the same reference toxicant, test concentrations, dilution water and data analysis methods. A dilution factor of 0.5x or greater shall be used for both acute and chronic tests.
 - 5) The reference toxicant tests shall be conducted following the same procedures as the environmental toxicity tests for which the precision is being evaluated. unless otherwise specified in the test method (for example, 10-day sediment tests employ 96-h water-only reference toxicant tests). The test duration, dilution or control water, feeding, organism age, age range and density, test volumes, renewal frequency, water quality measurements, and the number of test concentrations, replicates and organisms per replicate shall be the same as specified for the environmental toxicity test.
- b) Negative Control - Control, Brine Control, Control Sediment, Control Soil or Dilution Water -
- 1) The standards for the use, type and frequency of testing of negative controls are specified by the test methods and by permit or regulation and shall be followed. A negative control is included with each test.
 - 2) Appropriate additional negative controls shall be included when sample adjustments (for example addition of sodium hydroxide for pH adjustment or thiosulfate for dechlorination) or solvent carriers are used in the test.
 - 3) Test Acceptability Criteria (TAC) - The test acceptability criteria (for example, the whole-effluent chronic *Ceriodaphnia* test, requires 80% or greater survival and an average 15 young per female in the controls) as specified in the test method must be achieved for both the reference toxicant and the effluent or environmental sample toxicity test. The criteria shall be calculated and shall meet the method specified requirements for performing toxicity tests.

D.2.2 Variability and/or Reproducibility

Intralaboratory precision shall be determined on an ongoing basis through the use of further reference toxicant tests and related control charts as described in item D.2.1.a above.

D.2.3 Accuracy

This principle is not applicable to Toxicity Testing.

D.2.4 Test Sensitivity

- a) If the Dunnett's procedure is used, the statistical minimum significant difference (SMSD) shall be calculated according to the formula specified by the test method and reported with the test results.
- b) Estimate the SMSD for non-normal distribution and or heterogenous variances.
- c) Point estimates: (LCp, ICp, or ECp) - Confidence intervals shall be reported as a measure of the precision around the point estimate value.
- d) The SMSD shall be calculated and reported for only hypothesis test values, such as the NOEC or NOAEC.

D.2.5 Selection of Appropriate Statistical Analysis Methods

- a) If required, methods of data analysis and endpoints are specified by language in the regulation, permit or the test method.
- b) Dose Response Curves - When required, the data shall be plotted in the form of a curve relating the dose of the chemical or concentration of sample to cumulative percentage of test organisms demonstrating a response such as death.

D.2.6 Selection and Use of Reagents and Standards

- a) The grade of all reagents used in toxicity tests is specified in the test method except the reference standard. All reference standards shall be prepared from chemicals which are analytical reagent grade or better. The preparation of all standards and reference toxicants shall be documented.
- b) All standards and reagents associated with chemical measurements, such as dissolved oxygen, pH or specific conductance, shall comply with the standards outlined in Section 5.9.4 above.
- c) Only reagent-grade water collected from distillation or deionization units (> 17 megohm resistivity) is used to prepare reagents.

D.2.7 Selectivity

This principle is not applicable. The selectivity of the test is specified by permit or regulation.

D.2.8 Constant and Consistent Test Conditions

- a) If closed refrigerator-sized incubators are used, culturing and testing of organisms shall be separated to avoid loss of cultures due to cross-contamination.
- b) Laboratory space must be adequate for the types and numbers of tests performed. The building must provide adequate cooling, heating and illumination for conducting testing and culturing; hot and cold running water must be available for cleaning equipment.
- c) Air used for aeration of test solutions, dilution waters and cultures must be free of oil and fumes.

- d) The laboratory or a contracted outside expert shall positively identify test organisms to species on an annual basis. The taxonomic reference (citation and page(s)) and the names(s) of the taxonomic expert(s) must be kept on file at the laboratory. When organisms are obtained from an outside source the supplier must provide this same information.
- e) Instruments used for routine measurements of chemical and physical parameters such as pH, DO, conductivity, salinity, alkalinity, hardness, chlorine, and weight shall be calibrated, and/or standardized per manufacturer's instructions and Section 5.9.4. Temperature shall be calibrated per section 5.9.4.2.1. All measurements and calibrations shall be documented.
- f) Test temperature shall be maintained as specified for the test method. Temperature control equipment must be adequate to maintain the required test temperature(s). The average daily temperature of the test solutions must be maintained within 1°C of the selected test temperature, for the duration of the test. The minimum frequency of measurement shall be once per 24 hour period. The test temperature for continuous-flow toxicity tests shall be recorded and monitored continuously.
- g) Reagent grade water, prepared by any combination of distillation, reverse osmosis, ion exchange, activated carbon and particle filtration, shall meet the following requirements as verified by monthly measurement: conductivity less than or equal to 0.1 umhos or resistivity greater than or equal to 17 megohm, pH 5.5 to 7.5 S.U. and total residual chlorine non-detectable.
- h) The quality of the standard dilution water used for testing or culturing must be sufficient to allow satisfactory survival, growth and reproduction of the test species as demonstrated by routine reference toxicant tests and negative control performance. Water used for culturing and testing shall be analyzed for toxic metals and organics whenever the minimum acceptability criteria for control survival, growth or reproduction are not met and no other cause, such as contaminated glassware or poor stock, can be identified. It is recognized that the analyte lists of some methods manuals may not include all potential toxicants, are based on estimates of chemical toxicity available at the time of publication and may specify detection limits which are not achievable in all matrices. However, for those analytes not listed, or for which the measured concentration or detection limit is greater than the method-specified limit, the laboratory must demonstrate that the analyte at the measured concentration or reported detection limit does not exceed one tenth the expected chronic value for the most sensitive species tested and/or cultured. The expected chronic value is based on professional judgement and the best available scientific data. The "USEPA Ambient Water Quality Criteria Documents" and the EPA AQUIRE data base provide guidance and data on acceptability and toxicity of individual metals and organic compounds..
- i) For each new batch of laboratory-prepared or lot of commercial food used for culturing and testing, the performance of organisms fed with the new food shall be compared with the performance of organisms with a food of known quality in side-by-side tests. If the food is used for culturing, its suitability is determined using a short-term chronic test that measures the effect of food quality on growth or reproduction of each of the relevant test species in culture, using a minimum of four replicates with each food source. Where applicable, foods used only in chronic toxicity tests are compared with a food of known quality in side-by-side, multi-concentration chronic tests, using the reference toxicant regularly employed in the laboratory QA program. In the case of algae, rotifers or other cultured foods, which are collected as a continuous batch, the quality is assessed, using side-by-side tests as described above, each time new nutrient stocks are prepared, a new starter culture is employed or when a significant

change in culture conditions occurs. The laboratory shall have written procedures for the statistical evaluation of food acceptance.

- j) Food used to culture organisms used in bioaccumulation tests must be analyzed for the compounds to be measured in the bioaccumulation tests.
- k) Test chamber size and test solution volume shall be as specified in the test method. All test chambers used in a test must be identical.
- l) Test organisms shall be fed the quantity and type food or nutrients specified in the test method. They shall also be fed at the intervals specified in the test methods.
- m) All organisms in a test must be from the same source. Where available certified seeds are used for soil tests.
- n) All organisms used in tests, or used as broodstock to produce neonate test organisms (for example cladocerans and larval fish), must appear healthy, show no signs of stress or disease and exhibit acceptable survival (90% or greater) during the 24 hour period immediately preceding use in tests.
- o) All materials used for test chambers, culture tanks, tubing, etc. and coming in contact with test samples, solutions, control water, sediment or soil or food must be non-toxic and cleaned as described in the test methods. Materials must not reduce or add to sample toxicity. Appropriate materials for use in toxicity testing and culturing are described in the referenced manuals.
- p) Light intensity shall be maintained as specified in the methods manuals. Measurements shall be made and recorded on a yearly basis. Photoperiod shall be maintained as specified in the test methods and shall be documented at least quarterly. For algal and plant tests, the light intensity shall be measured and recorded at the start of each test.
- q) At a minimum, during aquatic chronic testing DO and pH shall be measured daily in at least one replicate of each concentration. In static-renewal tests DO must be measured at both the beginning and end of each 24-h exposure period and may be measured in old and new solutions prior to organism transfer, or after organism transfer; pH is measured at the end of each exposure period (i.e. in old solutions).
- r) ~~All cultures used for testing shall be maintained as specified in the methods manuals. If test organisms are obtained from an outside source, certification of culture methods and conditions must be provided by the supplier for each lot of organisms used in tests.~~ The health and culturing conditions of all organisms used for testing shall be documented by the testing laboratory. Such documentation shall include culture conditions (e.g. salinity, hardness, temperature, pH) and observations of any stress, disease or mortality. When organisms are obtained from an outside source, the laboratory shall obtain written documentation of these water quality parameters and biological observations for each lot of organism received. These observations shall adequately address the 24-hour time period referenced in item D.2.8.n.above. The laboratory shall also record each of these observations and water quality parameters upon the arrival of the organisms at the testing laboratory.
- s) Age and the age range of the test organisms must be as specified in the test method. Supporting information, such as hatch dates and times, times of brood releases and metrics (for example, chironomid head capsule width) shall be documented.

- t) The maximum holding time of effluents (elapsed time from sample collection to first use in a test) shall not exceed 36 hours and the last use of the sample in test renewals shall not exceed 72 hours without the permission of the permitting authority.
- u) All samples shall be chilled to 4EC during or immediately after collection (see requirements in section 5.11.3).
- v) Organisms obtained from an outside source must be from the same batchw) Chronic tests shall have a minimum of four replicates per treatment.
- w) The control population of Ceriodaphnia in chronic effluent or receiving water tests shall contain no more than 20% males.
- x) Dissolved oxygen and pH in aquatic tests shall be within acceptable range at test initiation and aeration (minimal) is provided to tests if, and only if, acceptable dissolved oxygen concentrations cannot be otherwise maintained or if specified by the test method.
- y) The test soils or sediments must be within the geochemical tolerance range of the test organism.
- z) An individual test may be conditionally acceptable if temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH and other specified conditions fall outside specifications, depending on the degree of the departure and the objectives of the tests (see test conditions and test acceptability criteria specified for each test method). The acceptability of the test shall depend on the experience and professional judgment of the technical employee and the permitting authority.

D.3 MICROBIOLOGY TESTING

These standards apply to laboratories undertaking the ~~examination of materials, products and substances involving~~ microbiological analysis; ~~of environmental samples, recovery or testing. The procedures involve the culture media, the test sample and the microbial species being isolated, tested or enumerated.~~ Microbiological testing refers to and includes the detection, isolation, enumeration or identification of microorganisms and/or their metabolites, or determination of the presence or absence of growth in materials and media.

- a) ~~Microbiological testing refers to and includes the detection, isolation, enumeration and identification of microorganisms and their metabolites or confirmation of the absence of growth in materials and media. It includes assays using microorganisms as part of a detection system and their use for ecological testing.~~
- b) ~~These standards are concerned with the quality of test results and not specifically with health and safety measures. In the performance of microbiological testing, laboratories must be aware of and have SOPs that conform with local, State, and national regulatory policies for the safety and health of personnel.~~

D.3.1 Sterility, Positive and Negative Controls

- a) ~~Negative Controls~~ Sterility Checks

The laboratory shall demonstrate that the equipment, sample containers, media and reagents have not been contaminated through ~~sample~~ improper handling or, preparation, inadequate

sterilization, or environmental exposure. These controls shall include sterility checks of media and ~~blanks~~ such as filtration blanks, bottle, and ~~buffer~~buffered dilution water blanks.

- 1) ~~All blanks and uninoculated controls specified by the test method shall be prepared and analyzed at the frequency stated in the method and must include the following controls:~~ An uninoculated control (sterility blank) shall be analyzed for each lot of pre-prepared, ready-to-use media (including chromofluorogenic reagent) and for each batch of media prepared in the laboratory. This shall be done prior to first use of the media and monthly thereafter until the media expiration date for holding time.
- 2) ~~Analyze (culture) a known negative control using a non-target organism, as a procedural control of the method for each commercial lot of selective media or batch of media prepared in the lab.~~ In addition to the above media checks, for Membrane Filtration technique, the laboratory shall prepare a series of equipment blanks. At least one beginning and ending sterility blank shall be prepared, with additional sterility blanks inserted after every 10 samples and after an interruption of more than 30 minutes, when the same equipment set is used to prepare multiple samples.
- 3) ~~Except for self-contained chromofluorogenic methods, a minimum of one uninoculated control shall be prepared and analyzed with each batch of samples. The laboratory shall prepare a series of blanks using the equipment. At least one beginning and ending control shall be prepared, with additional controls inserted after every 10 samples, when the same equipment set is used to prepare multiple samples.~~ For Pour Plate technique, sterility checks of the media shall be made by pouring, at a minimum, one uninoculated plate for each lot of pre-prepared, ready-to-use media and for each batch of media prepared in the laboratory.
- d) Sterility checks on sample containers shall be performed on at least one container for each lot of purchased pre-sterilized containers. For containers prepared and sterilized in the laboratory, sterility checks shall be performed on one container per autoclaved batch.

b) Positive Controls

Positive culture controls demonstrate that the medium can support the growth of the target test organisms, and that the medium produces the specified or expected reaction to the target test organism.

- 1) Each pre-prepared, ready to use lot of selective media (including chromofluorogenic reagent) and each batch of media prepared in the laboratory shall be tested with at least one pure culture of a known positive reaction.~~and, except for self-contained chromofluorogenic methods, shall be included with each sample test batch, each month that the media is used. This shall be done prior to first use of the media, and monthly thereafter until the media expiration date for holding time.~~
- 2) ~~If routine maintenance culturing is not part of a laboratory's testing and pre-prepared media are routinely used, strict control of the storage conditions and expiration date of media shall be maintained. A positive growth control from a known positive sample shall be run with each lot to ensure that the newly prepared media support growth.~~
- 3) ~~If the laboratory has at least one known positive result with an appropriate target organism during the month, a separate positive control is not required.~~

c) Negative Controls

Negative culture controls demonstrate that the media does not support the growth of non-target organisms.

As a procedural control of the method, each pre-prepared, ready-to-use lot of selective media (including chromofluorogenic reagent) and each batch of laboratory prepared media shall be analyzed with one or more known negative culture controls; i.e. non-target organisms, as appropriate to the method. This shall be done prior to first use of the media, and monthly thereafter until the media expiration date for holding time.

D.3.2 Test Variability/Reproducibility

- a) The laboratory must demonstrate its ability to duplicate the results of quantitative methods by analyzing ~~duplicate~~ a sample in duplicate ~~samples~~ once per month for each month that the test is performed, or by performing a positive control in duplicate at least once per month. Exceptions shall be made for methods where all of the sample submitted is routinely used for the initial test.
- b) Participation in, collaborative trials, proficiency testing, or interlaboratory comparisons, either formal or informal, must be done. For test methods that specify colony counts, such as membrane filter or plated media, duplicate counts shall be performed monthly on one positive sample, for each month in which the test is performed. If the lab has two or more analysts, each analyst shall count typical colonies on the same sample. Counts must be within 10% difference to be acceptable. In a laboratory with only one microbiology analyst, the same sample shall be counted twice by the analyst, with no more than 5% difference between the counts.

D.3.3 Method Evaluation

- a) ~~In order to demonstrate the suitability of a test method for its intended purpose, the laboratory shall demonstrate and document that the test method meets acceptance criteria either specified by the method or by the EPA or State program requirements. Acceptance criteria must meet or exceed these requirements and must demonstrate that the test method provides correct/expected results with respect to specified detection capabilities, selectivity, and reproducibility.~~
- 1) Laboratories are required to demonstrate proficiency with the test method and appropriateness of the test method to a specific sample matrix prior to first use. This can shall be achieved by simultaneous, side-by-side analysis by several analysts, or in a one person laboratory with repetitive testing or collaborative testing with another laboratory. comparison to an already approved method or comparison to a method already in use in the laboratory. Samples used for comparison shall be representative of samples routinely used in the laboratory.
- 2) ~~Qualitative microbiological test methods in which the response is expressed in terms of presence/absence, shall be validated by estimating, if possible, the specificity, and reproducibility. Differences in matrices must be taken into account when testing different sample types.~~
- 3) ~~The validation of microbiological test methods shall be performed under the same conditions as those for routine sample analysis. This can be achieved by using a~~

~~combination of naturally contaminated products and spiked products with results that can be statistically analyzed to demonstrate that the test meets its intended purpose.~~

- ~~4) All validation data shall be recorded and stored at least as long as the test method is in force, or if withdrawn from active use, for at least 5 years past the date of last use.~~
- b) Laboratories shall participate in the Proficiency Test programs (~~interlaboratory~~) identified by NELAP (5.4.2.j or 5.5.3.4). The results of these analyses shall be used to evaluate the ability of the laboratory to produce accurate data.

D.3.4 Test Performance

- a) All growth and recovery media must be checked to assure that the target organisms respond in an acceptable and predictable manner (see D.3.1.b).
- b) To ensure that analysis results are accurate, the identify of the target organisms shall be verified. If not already specified in the method, appropriate verification procedures shall be performed and documented, for quantitative methods, once per month for each month that the test is performed.

D.3.5 Data Reduction

- a) The calculations, data reduction and statistical interpretations specified by each test method shall be followed.
- b) ~~If the test method specifies colony counts, such as on membrane filter or plated media then the ability of individual analysts to count colonies accurately shall be verified at least once per month, by having two or more analysts count colonies from the same plate. In a one person laboratory, repetitive counting of the same sample or collaborative testing in another laboratory can be used.~~

D.3.6 Quality of Standards, Reagents and Media

The laboratory shall ensure that the quality of the reagents and media used is appropriate for the test concerned.

- a) Culture media may be prepared in the laboratory from the different chemical ingredients, from commercial dehydrated powders or may be purchased ready-to-use. Preparation from different chemical ingredients shall not be done unless the media is not available commercially or unless specified by method.
- b) Reagents, commercial dehydrated powders and media shall be used within the shelf-life of the product and shall be documented according to 5.10.5. ~~The laboratory shall retain all manufacturer-supplied "quality specification statements" which may contain such information as shelf life of the product, storage conditions, sampling regimen/rate, sterility information, including acceptability criteria. Performance checks including the organism used, their culture collection reference a date of issue of specification, or statements assuring that the relevant product batch meets the product specifications must be verified.~~
- c) Distilled water, deionized water or reverse-osmosis produced water free from bactericidal and inhibitory substances (~~e.g., demonstrated with the Water Suitability test~~) shall be used in the preparation of media, solutions and buffers. The quality of the water shall be monitored for

chlorine residual, specific conductance, and heterotrophic bacteria plate count on a monthly frequency ~~(when used) when in use and analyzed~~ Analysis for metals and the Bacteriological Water Quality Test (to determine presence of toxic agents or growth promoting substances) shall be performed annually. Results of these analyses shall meet the specifications of the required method and records of analyses shall be maintained for five years. (An exception to performing the Bacteriological Water Quality Test shall be given to laboratories that can supply documentation to show that their water source meets the criteria, as specified by the method, for Type I or Type II reagent water.) ~~yearly and evaluated according to the required method.~~ ~~Records shall be maintained on all activities.~~

- d) Media, solutions and reagents shall be prepared, used and stored according to a documented procedure following the manufacturer's instructions or the test method. Documentation for media prepared in the laboratory shall include date of preparation, preparer's initials, type and amount of media prepared, manufacturer and lot number, final pH of the media, and expiration date. Documentation for media purchased prepared, ready-to-use shall include manufacturer, lot number, type and amount of media received, date received, expiration date of the media, and pH of the media.
- e) ~~All laboratory media shall be checked to ensure they support the growth of specific microbial cultures. In addition, selective media shall be checked to ensure they suppress the growth of non-target organisms. Media purchased pre-prepared from the manufacturer shall be checked monthly except when the use and maintenance of pure cultures is not part of laboratory procedures. Rather than the commonly used streak method, a quantitative procedure where a known (often low) number of relevant organisms are inoculated into the medium under test and the recovery evaluated must be used.~~

D.3.7 Selectivity

- a) ~~All confirmation/verification tests specified by the test method shall be performed according to method protocols.~~
- b) ~~In order to ensure identity and traceability, laboratories shall use reference cultures of microorganisms obtained from a recognized national collection, or an organization, or manufacturer recognized by the assessor body. Microorganisms may be single use or cultures maintained by documented procedures that demonstrate the purity and viability of the organism.~~
- a4) Reference cultures may be revived (if freeze-dried) or transferred from slants and subcultured once to provide reference stocks. Appropriate purity and biochemical checks shall be made with the reference stocks and documented. The reference stocks shall be preserved by a technique which maintains the characteristics of the strains. Examples of such methods are freeze-drying, liquid nitrogen storage and deep-freezing. Reference stocks shall be used to prepare working stocks for routine work. If reference stocks have been thawed, they must not be re-frozen and re-used. Reference stocks shall be used to prepare working stocks for routine work.
- b2) Working stocks shall not be sequentially cultured more than five times except when: and shall not be subcultured to replace reference stocks.
- i. ~~it is required by standard test methods, or~~
- ii. ~~laboratories can provide documentary evidence demonstrating that there has been no loss of viability, no changes in biochemical activity and/or no change in morphology.~~

- 3) ~~Working stocks shall not be subcultured to replace reference stocks.~~
- 4) ~~A scheme for handling reference cultures is included in Figure D.1.~~
- 5) ~~Where used, a new reference culture must be obtained on at least an annual basis.~~




Figure D.1 Use of Reference Cultures (Bacteria)

D.3.8 Constant and Consistent Test Conditions

- a) ~~The laboratory shall devise an appropriate environmental monitoring program and examine trends in levels of contamination. Acceptable background counts shall be determined and there shall be documented procedures to deal with situations in which these limits are exceeded.~~

a) Laboratory Facilities

~~Walls, floors, ceilings and work surfaces shall be non-absorbent and easy to clean and disinfect. Wooden work surfaces of fixtures and fittings shall be adequately sealed. Measures shall be taken to avoid accumulation of dust by the provision of~~ Laboratories shall provide

sufficient working and storage space, ~~by having minimal paperwork in the laboratory and by prohibiting plants and personal possessions from the laboratory work area~~ and shall be clean and free from dust accumulation. Plants, food and drink shall be prohibited from the laboratory work area.

be) Temperature measurement devices Laboratory Equipment

1) Temperature Measuring Devices

~~Where the accuracy of temperature measurement has a direct effect on the result of the analysis, t~~Temperature measuring devices such as liquid-in-glass thermometers, thermocouple, platinum resistance thermometers used in incubators, autoclaves and other equipment shall be the appropriate quality to meet specification(s) in the test method. The graduation of the temperature measuring devices must be appropriate for the required accuracy of measurement and they shall be calibrated to national or international standards for temperature (see 5.9.2). Calibration shall be done at least annually.

- ~~— b) Demonstration of sterilization shall be provided by a continuous temperature recording and through the use of appropriate biological indicators at least once each month of use except when temperature recording is not available and then the frequency of biological indicator use shall be once each week.~~
- ~~— 3) The stability and uniformity of temperature distribution and time after test sample addition to re-establish equilibrium conditions in incubators, water baths, ovens and temperature controlled rooms shall be established.~~

2d) Autoclaves

i.4) The performance of each autoclave shall be initially evaluated by establishing its functional properties and performance, for example heat distribution characteristics with respect to typical uses. Autoclaves shall meet specified temperature tolerances. ~~Pressure cookers fitted only with a pressure gauge are not recommended~~ shall not be used for sterilization of media or decontamination of wastes.

ii. Demonstration of sterilization shall be provided by use of continuous temperature recording device or by use of a maximum registering thermometer with every cycle. Appropriate biological indicators shall be used once per month. Temperature sensitive tape shall be used only to indicate that the autoclave contents have been processed.

iii.2) Records of autoclave operations ~~including temperature and time~~ shall be maintained. ~~This shall be done~~ for every cycle. Records shall include: date, contents, maximum temperature reached, time in sterilization mode, total run time (may be recorded as time in and time out) and analyst's initials. Acceptance/rejection criteria shall be established and used to evaluate the autoclave efficiency and effectiveness. Media shall be discarded for runs that do not meet acceptance criteria. Waste decontamination runs that do not meet acceptance criteria shall be re-run until they are acceptable, before disposal of the waste.

iv.3) Autoclave maintenance, either internally or by service contract, shall be performed annually and shall include a pressure check and calibration of temperature device. Records of the maintenance shall be maintained in equipment logs.

- v. The autoclave mechanical timing device shall be checked quarterly against a stopwatch and the actual elapsed time documented.

3) Volumetric Equipment

Volumetric equipment such as automatic dispensers, dispenser/diluters, mechanical hand pipettes and disposal pipettes used in the microbiology laboratory shall be calibrated. as outlined in Section 5.9.4.2.1 and documented. Each lot of disposable pipets ~~requires a manufacturer's verification of~~ shall be verified for accuracy and these records shall be retained.

f4) UV Instruments

UV instruments, used for sanitation, shall ~~Are to be tested quarterly for effectiveness by testing of the power output of the UV bulb in the UV instruments with an appropriate UV light meter or by plate count agar spread plates. Replace bulbs if output is less than 70% of original for light tests or if count reduction is less than 99% for plate containing 200 to 300 organisms.~~

- g5) Conductivity meters, oxygen meters, pH meters, hygrometers, and other similar measurement instruments shall be calibrated according to the method specified requirements (see Section 5.9.4.) ~~Mechanical timers shall be checked regularly against electronic timing devices to ensure accuracy.~~

h6) Incubators, Water Baths, Ovens

- i. The stability and uniformity of temperature distribution and time required after test sample addition to re-establish equilibrium conditions in incubators and water baths shall be established. Temperature of incubators and water baths shall be documented twice daily, at least four hours apart, on each day of use.
- ii. Ovens used for sterilization shall be checked for sterilization effectiveness monthly with appropriate spore strips. Records shall be maintained for each cycle that include date, cycle time, temperature, contents, and analyst's initials.

7) Glassware

- i.7) The laboratory shall have a documented procedure for washing glassware that meets requirements of the method. Detergents designed for microbiological laboratory use must be used.
- ii. Glassware shall be tested for possible presence of residues which may inhibit or promote growth of microorganisms by performing the Inhibitory Residue Test annually or each time the lab changes the lot of detergent, personnel, or washing procedures.
- iii.8) ~~Each batch of W~~ washed glassware shall be tested at least once daily for possible acid or alkaline residue by testing one piece of glassware with a suitable pH indicator such as bromthymol blue.

D.4 RADIOCHEMICAL TESTING

These standards apply to laboratories undertaking the examination of environmental samples by radiochemical analysis. These procedures for radiochemical analysis may involve some form of chemical separation followed by detection of the radioactive decay of analyte (or indicative daughters) and tracer isotopes where used. For the purpose of these standards procedures for the determination of radioactive isotopes by mass spectrometry (e.g. ICP-MS or TIMS) or optical (e.g. KPA) techniques are not addressed herein.

D.4.1 Negative and Positive Controls

a) Negative Controls

- 1) Method Blank - Shall be performed at a frequency of one per preparation batch. The results of this analysis shall be one of the quality control measures to be used to assess the batch. The method blank result shall be assessed against the specific acceptance criteria [see 5.10.1.2.b)18] specified in the laboratory method manual [see 5.10.1.2]. When the specified method blank acceptance criteria is not met the specified corrective action and contingencies [see 5.10.1.2.ab) 19 and 20] shall be followed and results reported with appropriate data qualifying codes. The occurrence of a failed method blank acceptance criteria and the actions taken shall be noted in the laboratory report [see 5.13.a)10].
- 2) In the case of gamma spectrometry where the sample matrix is simply aliquoted into a calibrated counting geometry the method blank shall be of similar counting geometry that is empty or filled to similar volume with ASTM Type II water to partially simulate gamma attenuation due to a sample matrix.
- 3) There shall be no subtraction of the required method blank [see D.4.1.a)1] result from the sample results in the associated preparation or analytical batch unless permitted by method or program. This does not preclude the application of any correction factor (e.g. instrument background, analyte presence in tracer, reagent impurities, peak overlap, calibration blank, etc.) to all analyzed samples, both program/project submitted and internal quality control samples. However, these correction factors shall not depend on the required method blank result in the associated analytical batch.
- 4) The method blank sample shall be prepared with similar aliquot size to that of the routine samples for analysis and the method blank result and acceptance criteria [5.10.1.2.b)18] shall be calculated in a manner that compensates for sample results based upon differing aliquot size.

b) Positive Controls

- 1) Laboratory Control Samples - Shall be performed at a frequency of one per preparation batch. The results of this analysis shall be one of the quality control measures to be used to assess the batch. The laboratory control sample result shall be assessed against the specific acceptance criteria [see 5.10.1.2.b)18] specified in the laboratory method manual [see 5.10.1.2]. When the specified laboratory control sample acceptance criteria is not met the specified corrective action and contingencies [see 5.10.1.2.b)19 and 20] shall be followed. The occurrence of a failed laboratory control sample acceptance criteria and the actions taken shall be noted in the laboratory report [see 5.13.a)10].

- 2) Matrix Spike - Shall be performed at a frequency of one per preparation batch for those methods which do not utilize an internal standard or carrier, for which there is a chemical separation process, and where there is sufficient sample to do so. The exceptions are gross alpha, gross beta and tritium which shall require matrix spikes for aqueous samples. The results of this analysis shall be one of the quality control measures to be used to assess the batch. The matrix spike result shall be assessed against the specific acceptance criteria [see 5.10.1.2.b)18] specified in the laboratory method manual [see 5.10.1.2]. When the specified matrix spike acceptance criteria is not met, the specified corrective action and contingencies [see 5.10.1.2.b)19 and 20] shall be followed. The occurrence of a failed matrix spike acceptance criteria and the actions taken shall be noted in the laboratory report [see 5.13.a)10]. The lack of sufficient sample aliquot size to perform a matrix spike shall be noted in the laboratory report.
 - 3) The activity of the laboratory control sample shall: (1) be two to ten times the detection limit or (2) at a level comparable to that of routine samples if the sample activities are expected to exceed 10 times the detection limit.
 - 4) The activity of the matrix spike analytes(s) shall be greater than ten times the detection limit.
 - 5) The laboratory standards used to prepare the laboratory control sample and matrix spike shall be from a source independent of the laboratory standards used for instrument calibration.
 - 6) The matrix spike shall be prepared by adding a known activity of target analyte. Where a radiochemical method, other than gamma spectroscopy, has more than one reportable analyte isotope (e.g. plutonium, Pu 238 and Pu 239, using alpha spectrometry), only one of the analyte isotopes need be included in the laboratory control or matrix spike sample at the indicated activity level. However, where more than one analyte isotope is present above the specified detection limit each shall be assessed against the specified acceptance criteria.
 - 7) Where gamma spectrometry is used to identify and quantitate more than one analyte isotope the laboratory control sample and matrix spike shall contain isotopes that represent the low (e.g. americium-241), medium (e.g. cesium-137) and high (e.g. cobalt-60) energy range of the analyzed gamma spectra. As indicated by these examples the isotopes need not exactly bracket the calibrated energy range or the range over which isotopes are identified and quantitated.
 - 8) The laboratory control sample shall be prepared with similar aliquot size to that of the routine samples for analyses.
- c) Other Controls
- 1) Tracer - For those methods that utilize a tracer (i.e. internal standard) each sample result shall have an associated tracer recovery calculated and reported. The tracer recovery for each sample result shall be one of the quality control measures to be used to assess the associated sample result acceptance. The tracer recovery shall be assessed against the specific acceptance criteria [see 5.10.1.2.b)18] specified in the laboratory method manual [see 5.10.1.2]. When the specified tracer recovery acceptance criteria is not met the specified corrective action and contingencies [see 5.10.1.2.b)19 and 20] shall be followed. The occurrence of a failed tracer recovery acceptance criteria and the actions taken shall be noted in the laboratory report [see 5.13.a)10].

- 2) Carrier - For those methods that utilize a carrier, each sample shall have an associated carrier recovery calculated and reported. The carrier recovery for each sample shall be one of the quality control measures to be used to assess the associated sample result acceptance. The carrier recovery shall be assessed against the specific acceptance criteria [see 5.10.1.2.b)18] specified in the laboratory method manual [see 5.10.1.2]. When the specified carrier recovery acceptance criteria is not met the specified corrective action and contingencies [see 5.10.1.2.b)19 and 20] shall be followed. The occurrence of a failed carrier recovery acceptance criteria and the actions taken shall be noted in the laboratory report [see 5.13.a)11].

D.4.2 Analytical Variability/Reproducibility

- a) Replicate - Shall be performed at a frequency of one per preparation batch where there is sufficient sample to do so. The results of this analysis shall be one of the quality control measures to be used to assess batch acceptance. The replicate result shall be assessed against the specific acceptance criteria [see 5.10.1.2.b)18] specified in the laboratory method manual [see 5.10.1.2]. When the specified replicate acceptance criteria is not met the specified corrective action and contingencies [see 5.10.1.2.b)19 and 20] shall be followed. The corrective action shall consider the fact that sample inhomogeneity may be a cause of the failed replicate acceptance criteria. The occurrence of a failed replicate acceptance criteria and the actions taken shall be noted in the laboratory report [see 5.13.a)10].
- b) For low level samples (less than approximately three times the detection limit) the laboratory may analyze duplicate laboratory control samples or a replicate matrix spike (matrix spike and a matrix spike duplicate) to determine reproducibility within a preparation batch.

D.4.3 Method Evaluation

In order to ensure the accuracy of the reported result, the following procedures shall be in place:

- a) Initial Demonstration of Capability - (section 5.10.2.1 and Appendix C) shall be performed initially (prior to the analysis of any samples) and with a significant change in instrument type, personnel or method.
- b) Proficiency Test Samples - The results of such analysis (5.4.2.j and 5.5.3.4) shall be used by the laboratory to evaluate the ability of the laboratory to produce accurate data.

D.4.4 Radiation Measurement System Calibration

Because of the stability and response nature of modern radiation measurement instrumentation, it is not typically necessary to verify calibrate of these systems each day of use. This section addresses those practices that are necessary for proper calibration and those requirements of section 5.9.4.2 (Instrument Calibrations) that are not applicable to some types of radiation measurement instrumentation.

- a) Initial Instrument Calibration
 - 1) Given that activity detection efficiency is independent of sample activity at all but extreme activity levels, the requirements of subsections f, h and i of 5.9.4.2.1 are not applicable to radiochemical method calibrations except mass attenuation in gas-proportional counting and sample quench in liquid scintillation counting. Radiochemistry analytical instruments are

subject to calibration when purchased, when the instrument is serviced, when the instrument is moved and when the instrument setting(s) have been changed.

- 2) Instrument calibration shall be performed with reference standards as defined in section D.4.7a. The standards shall have the same general characteristics (i.e., geometry, homogeneity, density, etc.) as the associated samples.
- 3) The frequency of calibration shall be addressed in the laboratory method manual [see 5.10.1.2.b)13] if not addressed in the method. A specific frequency (e.g. monthly) or observations from the associated control or tolerance chart, as the basis for calibration shall be specified.b) Continuing Instrument Calibration Verification

b) Continuing Instrument Calibration Verification

Calibration verification checks shall be performed using appropriate check sources and monitored with control charts or tolerance charts to ensure that the instrument is operating properly and that the calibration has not changed. The same check source used in the preparation of the tolerance chart or control chart at the time of calibration shall be used in the calibration verification of the instrument. The check sources must provide adequate counting statistics for a relatively short count time and the source should be sealed or encapsulated to prevent loss of activity and contamination of the instrument and laboratory personnel. For alpha and gamma spectroscopy systems, the instrument calibration verification shall include checks on the counting efficiency and the relationship between channel number and alpha or gamma ray energy.

- 1) For gamma spectroscopy systems, the calibration verification checks for efficiency and energy calibration shall be performed on a day of use basis along with performance checks on peak resolution.
- 2) For alpha spectroscopy systems, the calibration verification check for energy calibration shall be performed on a weekly basis and the performance check for counting efficiency shall be performed on at least a monthly basis.
- 3) For gas-proportional and liquid scintillation counters, the calibration verification check for counting efficiency shall be performed on a day of use basis. Verification of instrument calibration does not directly verify secondary calibrations, e.g., the mass efficiency curve or the quench curve.
- 4) For scintillation counters the calibration verification for counting efficiency shall be performed on a day of use basis.

c) Background Measurement

Background measurements shall be made on a regular basis and monitored using control charts or tolerance charts to ensure that a laboratory maintains its capability to meet required data quality objectives. These values are subtracted from the total measured activity in the determination of the sample activity.

- 1) For gamma spectroscopy systems, background measurements shall be performed on at least a monthly basis.

- 2) For alpha spectroscopy systems, background measurements shall be performed on at least a monthly basis.
- 3) For gas-proportional counters background measurements shall be performed on a weekly basis.
- 4) For scintillation counters, background measurements shall be performed each day of use.

D.4.5 Detection Limits

- a) Must be determined prior to sample analysis and must be redetermined each time there is a significant change in the test method or instrument type.
- b) The procedures employed must be documented and consistent with mandated method or regulation.

D.4.6 Data Reduction

- a) Refer to Section 5.10.6," Computers and Electronic Data Related Requirements," of this document.
- b) Measurement Uncertainties - each result shall be reported with the associated measurement uncertainty. The procedures for determining the measurement uncertainty must be documented and be consistent with mandated method and regulation.

D.4.7 Quality of Standards and Reagents

- a) The quality control program shall establish and maintain provisions for radionuclide standards.
 - 1) Reference standards that are used in a radiochemical laboratory shall be obtained from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), EPA, or suppliers who participate in supplying NIST standards or NIST traceable radionuclides. Any reference standards purchased outside the United States shall be traceable back to each country's national standards laboratory. Commercial suppliers of reference standards shall conform to ANSI N42.22 to assure the quality of their products.
 - 2) Reference standards shall be accompanied with a certificate of calibration whose content is as described in ANSI N42.22 - 1995, Section 8, Certificates.
 - 3) Laboratories should consult with the supplier if the lab's verification of the activity of the reference traceable standard indicates a noticeable deviation from the certified value. The laboratory shall not use a value other than the decay corrected certified value.
- b) All reagents used shall be analytical reagent grade or better.

D.4.8 Constant and Consistent Test Conditions

- a) To prevent incorrect analysis results caused by the spread of contamination among samples, the laboratory shall establish and adhere to written procedures to minimize the possibility of cross-contamination between samples.

- b) For gamma spectrometry systems, background check measurements shall be performed each day of use.
- c) For alpha spectrometry systems, background check measurements shall be performed except when using the electro-plating method of sample preparation.
- d) For gas-proportional counter systems, background check measurements shall be performed each day of use.

D.5 AIR TESTING

These standards shall apply to samples that are submitted to a laboratory for the purpose of analysis. They do not apply to field activities such as source air emission measurements or the use of continuous analysis devices.

D.5.1 Negative and Positive Controls

a) Negative Controls

- 1) Method Blanks – Shall be performed at a frequency of at least one (1) per batch of twenty (20) environmental samples or less per sample preparation method. The results of the method blank analysis shall be used to evaluate the contribution of the laboratory provided sampling media and analytical sample preparation procedures to the amount of analyte found in each sample. If the method blank result is greater than the detection limit and contributes greater than 10% of the total amount of analyte found in the sample, the source of the contamination must be investigated and measures taken to eliminate the source of contamination. If contamination is found, the data shall be qualified in the report.
- 2) Collection Efficiency- Sampling trains consisting of ~~one or more multi-section sorbent tube,~~ multiple sections (e.g. filters, sorbent tubes, impingers) that are received intact by the laboratory, shall be separated into “front” and “back” sections if required by the client. Each section shall be processed and analyzed separately and the analytical results reported separately.

b) Positive Controls

- 1) Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) – Shall be analyzed at a rate of at least one (1) per batch of twenty (20) or fewer samples per sample preparation method for each analyte. If a spiking solution is not available, a calibration solution, whose concentration approximates that of the samples, shall be ~~including~~ included in each batch and with each lot of media. If a calibration solution must be used for the LCS, the client will be notified prior to the start of analysis. The concentration of the LCS shall be relevant to the intended use of the data and either at a regulatory limit or below it.
- c) Surrogates - Shall be used as required by the test method or if requested by the client.
- d) Matrix spike – Shall be used as required by the test method, or if requested by the client.

D.5.2 Analytical Variability/Reproducibility

Matrix Spike Duplicates (MSDs) or Laboratory Duplicates – Shall be analyzed at a minimum of 1 in 20 samples per sample batch. The laboratory shall document their procedure to select the use

of appropriate types of spikes and duplicates. The selected samples(s) shall be rotated among client samples so that various matrix problems may be noted and/or addressed. Poor performance in the spikes and duplicates may indicate a problem with the sample composition and shall be reported to the client.

D.5.3 Method Evaluation

In order to ensure the accuracy of the reported result, the following procedures shall be in place:

- a) Demonstration of Capability – (Sections 5.6.2 and 5.10.2.1) shall be performed prior to the analysis of any samples and with a significant change in instrument type, personnel, matrix, or test method.
- b) Calibration – Calibration protocols specified in Section 5.9.4 shall be followed.
- c) Proficiency Test Samples – The results of such analyses (5.4.2.j or 5.5.3.4) shall be used by the laboratory to evaluate the ability of the laboratory to produce accurate data.

D.5.4 Detection Limits

The laboratory shall utilize a test method that provides a detection limit that is appropriate and relevant for the intended use of the data. Detection limits shall be determined by the protocol in the mandated test method or applicable regulation, e.g., MDL. If the protocol for determining detection limits is not specified, the selection of the procedure must reflect instrument limitations and the intended application of the test method.

- a) A detection limit study is not required for any component for which spiking solutions are not available such as temperature or on-line analyses.
- b) The detection limit shall be initially determined for the compounds of interest in each test method in a matrix in which there are not target analytes nor interferences at a concentration that would impact the results or the detection limit must be determined in the matrix of interest (see definition of matrix).
- c) Detection limits must be determined each time there is a significant change in the test method or instrument type.
- d) All sample processing steps of the analytical method must be included in the determination of the detection limit.
- e) All procedures used must be documented. Documentation must include the matrix type. All supporting data must be retained.
- f) The laboratory must have established procedures to tie detection limits with quantitation limits.

D.5.5 Data Reduction

The procedures for data reduction, such as use of linear regression, shall be documented.

D.5.6 Quality of Standards and Reagents

- a) The source of standards shall comply with 5.9.2.

- b) The purity of each analyte standard and each reagent shall be documented by the laboratory through certificates of analyses from the manufacturer/vendor, manufacturer/vendor specifications, and/or independent analysis.
- c) In methods where the purity of reagents is not specified, analytical reagent grade or higher quality, if available, shall be used.

D.5.7 Selectivity

The laboratory shall develop and document acceptance criteria for test method selectivity such as absolute and relative retention times, wavelength assignments, mass spectral library quality of match, and mass spectral tuning.

D.5.8 Constant and Consistent Test Conditions

- a) The laboratory shall assure that the test instruments consistently operate within the specifications required of the application for which the equipment is used.
- b) The laboratory shall document that all sampling equipment, containers and media used or supplied by the laboratory meet required test method criteria.
- c) If supplied or used by the laboratory, procedures for field equipment decontamination shall be developed and their use documented.
- d) The laboratory shall have a documented program for the calibration and verification of sampling equipment such as pumps, meter boxes, critical orifices, flow measurement devices and continuous analyzers, if these equipment are used or supplied by the laboratory.

QUALITY SYSTEMS
APPENDIX E

**ADDITIONAL SOURCES OF
INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE**

-Non-Mandatory Appendix-

Appendix E - ADDITIONAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION
Non-Mandatory Appendix-

Additional sources of information are available to assist laboratories in the design and implementation of a quality system. These materials may be found on the NELAC web page at www.epa.gov/ttn/nelac under the topic "Related Information".